

GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR DIRECT ACCESS TO ENABLING ACTIVITY

GEF ID:	5870		
Country/Region:	Vietnam		
Project Title:	Minamata Convention Initial Assessment in Vietnam		
GEF Agency:	UNIDO	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	POPs
GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$500,000
Co-financing:	\$47,000	Total Project Cost:	\$547,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Ibrahima Sow	Agency Contact Person:	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
Eligibility	1.Is the participating country eligible?	Yes. Vietnam has signed the Minamata Convention on October 11, 2013
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?* ¹	Yes. A letter from the operational focal point, dated on May 26, 2014, is submitted.
Agency's Comparative Advantage	3. Is the Agency's comparative advantage for this project clearly described and supported? *	Yes.
	4. Does the project fit into the Agency's program and staff capacity in the country?*	Yes.
Resource Availability	5. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):	
	• the STAR allocation?	N/A.
	• the focal area allocation?	Yes.
	focal area set-aside?	Yes.

 $^{^1}$ Questions 2, 3, 4, 18 and 19 are applicable only to EAs submitted through Agencies. EA review template: updated June 7 2011

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
	6. Is the project aligned with the focal areas results framework?	Yes.
	7. Are the relevant GEF 5 focal areas objectives identified?	Yes.
	8. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions, including NPFE, NAPA, NCSA, or NAP?	Yes.
	9. Does the proposal clearly articulate how the capacities developed, if any, will contribute to the sustainability of project outcomes?	Yes.
	10. Is the project framework sound and sufficiently clear?	Yes.
	11. Is there a clear description of how gender dimensions are being considered in the project design and implementation?	Yes.
Project Consistency	12. Is public participation, including CSOs and indigeneous people, taken into consideration, their role identified and addressed properly?	Yes.
	13. Is the project consistent and properly coordinated with other related initiatives in the country or in the region?	Yes.
	14. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes.
	15. Is the itemized budget (including consultant fees, travel, office facilities, etc) justified?	Yes.
	16. Is funding level for project management cost appropriate?	Requested GEF funding exceeds the norm (\$200,000). However an additional amount of \$300,000 is requested and justifications have been provided (page 9).
Project Financing	17. Is the funding and co-financing per objective appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	Yes
	18. Is indicated co-financing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Yes, co-financing is not a requirement however it is provided.
	19. Is the co-financing amount that the Agency is bringing to the project in line with its role?*	N/A.
	20. Comments related to adequacy of information	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
	submitted by country for financial management and procurement assessment.	
	21. Has the Agency responded adequately to comments from:*	
Agency Responses	• STAP?	None.
	• Convention Secretariat?	None.
	Other GEF Agencies?	None.

Secretariat Recommendation				
	22. Is EA clearance/approval being	Yes, clearance is recommended.		
Recommendation	recommended?			
	First review**	June 06, 2014		
Review Date (s)	Additional review (as necessary)			
	Additional review (as necessary)			

^{**} This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments. Greyed areas in each section do not need comments.