

# Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel

The Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel, administered by UNEP, advises the Global Environment Facility (Version 5)



## STAP Scientific and Technical screening of the Project Identification Form (PIF)

Date of screening: 11 March 2008

Screener: Guadalupe Duron

Panel member validation by: John Buccini (Consultant)

### I. PIF Information

**GEFSEC PROJECT ID:** 3622

**GEF AGENCY PROJECT ID:** P106885

**COUNTRY(IES):** Philippines

**PROJECT TITLE:** Integrated POPs Management Project: Dioxins and Furans, PCB and Contaminated Sites Management

**GEF AGENCY(IES):** World Bank

**OTHER EXECUTING PARTNER(S):** Environmental Management Bureau (EMB) - Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) and Department of Science and Technology (DOST)

**GEF FOCAL AREA (S):** Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)

**GEF-4 STRATEGIC PROGRAM(S):** POPs-SP1, SP2, SP3

**NAME OF PARENT PROGRAM/UMBRELLA PROJECT:**

**Full size project**      **GEF Trust Fund**

### II. STAP Advisory Response (see table below for explanation)

1. Based on this PIF screening, STAP's advisory response to the GEF Secretariat and GEF Agency(ies):  
**Consent**

### III. Further guidance from STAP

2. STAP welcomes this proposal to establish the development of an environmentally sound waste management and disposal systems for PCBs and uPOPs, and an approach to identify and manage POPs-contaminated sites in the Philippines. STAP recommends that a detailed baseline is included in the detailed proposal at CEO endorsement, so that global environmental benefits and other outputs can be closely measured and monitored.

STAP advisory response	Brief explanation of advisory response and action proposed
1. <b>Consent</b>	STAP acknowledges that on scientific/technical grounds the concept has merit. However, STAP may state its views on the concept emphasising any issues that could be improved and the proponent is invited to approach STAP for advice at any time during the development of the project brief prior to submission for CEO endorsement.
2. <b>Minor revision required.</b>	STAP has identified specific scientific/technical suggestions or opportunities that should be discussed with the proponent as early as possible during development of the project brief. One or more options that remain open to STAP include: (i) Opening a dialogue between STAP and the proponent to clarify issues (ii) Setting a review point during early stage project development and agreeing terms of reference for an independent expert to be appointed to conduct this review The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.
3. <b>Major revision required</b>	STAP proposes significant improvements or has concerns on the grounds of specified major scientific/technical omissions in the concept. If STAP provides this advisory response, a full explanation would also be provided. Normally, a STAP approved review will be mandatory prior to submission of the project brief for CEO endorsement. The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.