

Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel



The Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel, administered by UNEP, advises the Global Environment Facility (Version 5)

STAP Scientific and Technical screening of the Project Identification Form (PIF)

Date of screening: 10 March 2008

Screener: Guadalupe Duron

Panel member validation by: John Buccini (Consultant)

I. PIF Information

GEFSEC PROJECT ID: 3282

GEF AGENCY PROJECT ID: 3863

COUNTRY (IES): Brazil

PROJECT TITLE: Establishment of PCB Waste Management and Disposal System

GEF AGENCY (IES): UNDP

OTHER EXECUTING PARTNER(S): Ministry of Environment

GEF FOCAL AREA (S): Persistent Organic Pollutants

GEF-4 STRATEGIC PROGRAM(S): POPs SP 1 and POPs SP 2

NAME OF PARENT PROGRAM/UMBRELLA PROJECT: NOT APPLICABLE

Full size project **GEF Trust Fund**

II. STAP Advisory Response (see table below for explanation)

1. Based on this PIF screening, STAP's advisory response to the GEF Secretariat and GEF Agency(ies):
Consent

III. Further guidance from STAP

2. STAP welcomes this proposal to establish the development of an environmentally sound PCB waste management and disposal system for PCBs in Brazil. STAP recommends that a detailed baseline is included in the detailed proposal at CEO endorsement, so that global environmental benefits and other outputs can be closely measured and monitored.

<i>STAP advisory response</i>	<i>Brief explanation of advisory response and action proposed</i>
1. Consent	STAP acknowledges that on scientific/technical grounds the concept has merit. However, STAP may state its views on the concept emphasising any issues that could be improved and the proponent is invited to approach STAP for advice at any time during the development of the project brief prior to submission for CEO endorsement.
2. Minor revision required.	STAP has identified specific scientific/technical suggestions or opportunities that should be discussed with the proponent as early as possible during development of the project brief. One or more options that remain open to STAP include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Opening a dialogue between STAP and the proponent to clarify issues (ii) Setting a review point during early stage project development and agreeing terms of reference for an independent expert to be appointed to conduct this review The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.
3. Major revision required	STAP proposes significant improvements or has concerns on the grounds of specified major scientific/technical omissions in the concept. If STAP provides this advisory response, a full explanation would also be provided. Normally, a STAP approved review will be mandatory prior to submission of the project brief for CEO endorsement. The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.