

GEF Data Sheet
PROJECT TYPE: FULL-SIZE PROJECT

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title:	Building Resilience through Innovation, Communication and Knowledge Services (BRICKS) Project		
Country(ies):	Regional	GEF Project ID:	
GEF Agency(ies):	The World Bank	GEF Agency Project ID:	P130888
Other Executing Partner(s):	Permanent Interstate Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel (CILSS), Sahel and Sahara Observatory (OSS), and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), West Africa Office.	Submission Date:	
GEF Focal Area (s):	LD, CCM (FAS)	Project Duration (Months)	72
Name of parent program (if applicable): For SFM/REDD+ <input type="checkbox"/>	WB/GEF Sahel and West Africa Program in support of the Great Green Wall Initiative	Agency Fee (\$):	\$370,370

A. FOCAL AREA STRATEGY FRAMEWORK

Focal Area Objectives	Expected FA Outcomes	Expected FA Outputs	Trust Fund	Grant Amount (\$)	Cofinancing (\$)
LD-3: Integrated landscapes: Reduce pressures on natural resources from competing land uses in the wider landscape	Outcome 3.1: Enhanced cross-sector enabling environment for integrated landscape management	Output 3.2: INRM tools and methodologies developed and tested Output 3.4: Information on INRM technologies and good practice guidelines disseminated	GEFTF	1,950,000	2,000,000
LD-4: Adaptive Management and Learning: Increase capacity to apply adaptive management tools in SLM/SFM/INRM by GEF and UNCCD Parties	Outcome 4.2: Improved GEF portfolio monitoring using new and adapted tools and methodologies	Output 4.2: GEF-financed projects contribute to SLM/SFM/INRM knowledge base	GEFTF	827,779	3,750,000

CCM-5: Promote conservation and enhancement of carbon stocks through sustainable management of land use, land-use change, and forestry	Outcome 5.1: Good management practices in LULUCF adopted both within the forest land and in the wider landscape	Output 5.2: Forest and non-forest land under good management practices	GEFTF	1,851,851	4,250,000
Total project costs				4,629,630	10,000,000

Note: (i) The GEF and the Bank use different definitions of the term “co-financing.” The co-financing figures given in this GEF Data Sheet and in the GEF Annex of the PAD conform to the conventional GEF definition of baseline co-financing; (ii) details of the baseline co-financed activities are presented in Table 7.2 of Annex 7 of the PAD and illustrate that this co-financing is essential for meeting the GEF project objectives.

B. PROJECT FRAMEWORK

Project objective: To improve accessibility of best practices and monitoring information within the Sahel and West Africa Program portfolio on sustainable land use and management						
Project Component	Grant Type	Expected outcomes	Expected outputs	Trust Fund	Grant Amount (\$)	Confirmed Cofinancing (\$)
1. Knowledge management	TA	Lessons from inside and outside the SAWAP portfolio are regularly exchanged through a regional learning hub that networks institutions and individuals that are implementing 12 country investment operations.	<p>Team members for each of the SAWAP projects participating in regional knowledge exchanges per year (1200)</p> <p>Learning products on best practices developed and disseminated (30)</p> <p>Regional economic/ecosystem analyses completed (3)</p> <p>South/South learning events held (12)</p> <p>SAWAP communication strategy developed and updated annually with the communication teams for the 12 country projects (Y)</p> <p>Activities in the BRICKS communication strategy’s action plan that have begun implementation (80)</p>	GEF TF	2,355,500	5,400,000
2. Program monitoring support	TA	Additional monitoring tools and training are deployed at regional and country levels to track processes and impacts from the portfolio of projects.	<p>Regional on-line decision support portal established (Y)</p> <p>Regional atlas of land degradation, climate change mitigation and</p>	GEF TF	1,650,000	2,500,000

		The SAWAP portfolio is regularly monitored against a set of thematic and process indicators.	<p>adaptation, and disaster risks is prepared, integrated into portal, and updated annually (Y)</p> <p>SAWAP projects reached with training on GIS tools and approaches (11)</p> <p>SAWAP projects reached with training on M&E tools and approaches (11)</p> <p>Guidelines developed and disseminated on data standardization and reporting procedures for SAWAP's project M&E teams (Y)</p> <p>Country projects providing timely M&E reports to regional level M&E system (11)</p> <p>SAWAP portfolio monitoring and reporting system functional and providing information on SAWAP portfolio progress (Y)</p>			
3. Project management	TA	Management of the regional BRICKS Project is carried out efficiently and effectively.	<p>Activities in agreed BRICKS joint annual work program that have begun implementation (80)</p> <p>BRICKS monitoring and reporting system functional and providing information on BRICKS progress (Y)</p>		See below	See below
Sub-total					4,005,500	7,900,000
Project management costs (component 3 as above)				GEF TF	624,130	2,100,000
Total project costs					4,629,630	10,000,000

C. SOURCES OF CONFIRMED COFINANCING FOR THE GEF FINANCING BY SOURCE AND BY NAME (\$)

Sources of Cofinancing	Name of Cofinancier	Type of Cofinancing	Amount (\$)
Public institution	CILSS	In-kind	2,500,000
Public institution	OSS	In-kind	1,800,000
Public institution	IUCN	In-kind	700,000
Trust Fund	TerrAfrica	In-kind	5,000,000

Total Cofinancing			10,000,000
--------------------------	--	--	------------

D. GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF RESOURCES REQUESTED BY AGENCY, FOCAL AREA AND COUNTRY

GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF RESOURCES REQUESTED BY AGENCY, FOCAL AREA AND COUNTRY					
Type of Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area	Country Name/Global	GEF Grant Amount (a)	Agency Fee (b)	Total c=a+b
GEF	Land Degradation (FAS)	Regional	2,777,779	222,222	3,000,001
GEF	Climate Change (FAS)	Regional	1,851,851	148,148	1,999,999
Total Grant Resources			4,629,630	370,370	5,000,000

E. DOES THE PROJECT INCLUDE A “NON-GRANT” INSTRUMENT? NO

F. STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES AND THE USE OF FUNDS: NO PPG

Annex 1: Results Framework and Monitoring

BUILDING RESILIENCE THROUGH INNOVATION, COMMUNICATION AND KNOWLEDGE SERVICES PROJECT

Project Development Objectives

PDO Statement:

To improve accessibility of best practices and monitoring information within the Sahel and West Africa Program portfolio on sustainable land use and management

Global Environmental Objective Indicators

Indicator Name	Core	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Cumulative Target Values							Frequency	Data Source/ Methodology	Responsibility for Data Collection
				YR1	YR2	YR3	YR4	YR5	YR6	End Target			
1. National team members in projects in the SAWAP umbrella reporting satisfaction with the effectiveness of services provided by the BRICKS project		%	0	70	80	80	80	80	80	80	Annual	Survey	BRICKS PIU at CILSS (responsible for project-level M&E), working with OSS and IUCN
2. Establishment and maintenance of a regional program-level monitoring system capable of aggregating environmental change information from participating country projects ¹		Number	0	0	1	2	11	11	11	11	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
3. Project beneficiaries (number), of which female (%) [Core indicator].	X	%	0	600 (40%)	1000 (40%)	1200 (40%)	1200 (40%)	1200 (40%)	1200 (40%)	1200 (40%)	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN

¹ Including information for changes in terrestrial carbon, biomass, land-use and land cover, soil degradation and biodiversity. See definition below.

Intermediate Results Indicators													
Indicator Name	Core	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Cumulative Target Values							Frequency	Data Source/ Methodology	Responsibility for Data Collection
				YR1	YR2	YR3	YR4	YR5	YR6	End Target			
Component 1: Knowledge management													
Intermediate Outcome 1.1: Lessons from inside and outside the SAWAP portfolio are regularly exchanged through a regional learning hub that networks institutions and individuals that are implementing 12 country investment operations.													
Team members for each of the SAWAP projects participating in regional knowledge exchanges per year (not cumulatively)		Number	0	600	1000	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
Learning products on best practices developed and disseminated ²		Number	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	30	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
Regional economic/ecosystem analyses completed.		Number	0	0	1	2	3	3	3	3	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
South/South learning events held		Number	0	0	4	8	12	12	12	12	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
SAWAP communication strategy developed and updated annually with the communication teams for the 12 country projects		(Y/N)	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
Activities in the BRICKS communication		(%)	0	30	60	70	80	80	80	80	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with

² Learning products include guidelines, videos, books, cost-benefit analyses and other studies, learning modules, policy notes, innovative tools, etc.

strategy's action plan that have begun implementation													OSS and IUCN
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--------------

Component 2: Program monitoring support

Intermediate Outcome 2.1: Additional monitoring tools and training are deployed at regional and country levels to track processes and impacts from the portfolio of projects.

Regional on-line decision support portal established		(Y/N)	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
Regional atlas of land degradation, climate change mitigation and adaptation, and disaster risks is prepared, integrated into portal, and updated annually		(Y/N)	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
SAWAP projects reached with training on GIS tools and approaches		Number	0	4	7	10	11	11	11	11	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
SAWAP projects reached with training on M&E tools and approaches		Number	0	4	9	11	11	11	11	11	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN

Intermediate Outcome 2.2: The SAWAP portfolio is regularly monitored against a set of thematic and process indicators.

Guidelines developed and disseminated on data standardization and reporting procedures for SAWAP's project M&E teams		(Y/N)	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
Country projects providing timely M&E reports to regional level M&E system		Number	0	3	6	10	11	11	11	11	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
SAWAP portfolio monitoring and reporting system functional and providing information on SAWAP portfolio progress		(Y/N)	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN

Component 3: Project Management

Intermediate Outcome 3.1: Management of the regional BRICKS Project is carried out efficiently and effectively.

Activities in agreed BRICKS joint annual work program that have begun implementation		%	0	50	60	70	80	80	80	80	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN
BRICKS monitoring and reporting system functional and providing information on BRICKS progress		(Y/N)	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Annual	Project records	BRICKS PIU at CILSS, working with OSS and IUCN

Supplemental Table – PDO Indicator Definitions

Project Development Objective Indicators

Indicator Name	Description (indicator definition etc.)
1. National team members in projects in the SAWAP umbrella reporting satisfaction with the effectiveness of services provided by the BRICKS project (%)	Using a survey instrument, this indicator tracks the extent to which SAWAP members of national project teams and other participating country project stakeholders who are participating in BRICKS activities are satisfied with the knowledge and monitoring services provided or facilitated by the BRICKS project to the participating country projects. This includes knowledge services such as cross-project and cross-country learning opportunities and dissemination of best practices, which will be part of the satisfaction survey.
2. Establishment and maintenance of a regional program-level monitoring system capable of aggregating environmental change information from participating country projects (y/n)	This indicator measures the number of the 12 SAWAP country projects that are reporting on environmental change indicators. These indicators may include: carbon storage in biomass and soil, GHG emissions from changes in land use and management, changes in land degradation patterns, land cover changes, net primary productivity, biodiversity enhancements, and water resources availability or quality. Where possible, BRICKS Component 2 will finance an activity to aggregate compatible environmental change indicators among the projects in the SAWAP umbrella that are already tracking environmental metrics. <i>Note:</i> Under Component 2, BRICKS will also provide support to project teams to help operationalize these indicators, as needed and demanded by the country project teams.
3. Project beneficiaries (number), of which female (%) [Core indicator].	This indicator tracks the affirmative inclusion of females, as direct beneficiaries of services from BRICKS, measured as a percentage. Direct project beneficiaries include each country’s project teams and key country stakeholders implementing each of the 12 SAWAP country projects, as well as regional staff of CILSS, OSS, and IUCN. The indicator also includes other professionals, including decision makers and team members from relevant projects outside SAWAP.