



# WORLD BANK APPRAISAL STAGE: GEF DATA SHEET

**PROJECT TYPE: FSP Endorsement**  
**TYPE OF TRUST FUND: GEF Trust Fund**

For more information about GEF, visit [TheGEF.org](http://TheGEF.org)

## PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title: Philippine Rural Development Program			
Country(ies):	Philippines	GEF Project ID: <sup>1</sup>	5281
GEF Agency(ies):	WB (select) (select)	GEF Agency Project ID:	P132424
Other Executing Partner(s):		Submission Date:	2013-08-01
GEF Focal Area (s):	Multifocal Area	Project Duration(Months)	72
Name of Parent Program (if applicable):	Scaling up Partnership Investments in Large Marine Ecosystems in East Asia and their Coasts	Agency Fee (\$):	560,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For SFM/REDD+ <input type="checkbox"/></li> </ul>			

## A. FOCAL AREA STRATEGY FRAMEWORK<sup>2</sup>

Focal Area Objectives	Expected FA Outcomes	Expected FA Outputs	Trust Fund	Grant Amount (\$)	Cofinancing (\$)
(select) BD-1	Outcome 1.1: Improved management effectiveness of existing and new protected areas. Indicator 1.1: Protected area management effectiveness score as recorded by Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool.	1.1 New protected areas (30-40) and coverage (10,000-14,000 ha) of unprotected ecosystems. 1.2 New protected areas (30-40) and coverage (10,000-14,000 ha) of unprotected threatened species(3-5)	GEF TF	3,000,000	279,672,234
(select) BD-2	Outcome 2.1: Increase in sustainably managed landscapes and seascapes that integrate biodiversity conservation. Indicator 2.1: Landscapes and seascapes certified by internationally or nationally recognized environmental standards that incorporate biodiversity considerations (e.g. FSC, MSC) measured in hectares and recorded by GEF tracking tool.  Outcome 2.2: Measures to conserve and sustainably	2.1 Policies and regulatory frameworks (1-2) for production sectors.  2.2 National and sub-national land-use plans (10-20) that incorporate biodiversity and ecosystem services valuation.	GEF TF	2,000,000	186,620,513

<sup>1</sup> Project ID number will be assigned by GEFSEC.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to the [Focal Area/LDCF/SCCF Results Framework](#) when completing Table A.

	use biodiversity incorporated in policy and regulatory frameworks. Indicator 2.2: Polices and regulations governing sectoral activities that integrate biodiversity conservation as recorded by the GEF tracking tool as a score.				
IW-2 (select)	<p>Outcome 2.2: Institutions for joint ecosystem-based and adaptive management for LMEs and local ICM frameworks demonstrate sustainability Indicator 2.2: Cooperation frameworks adopted and include sustainable financing;</p> <p>Outcome 2.3 Innovative solutions implemented for reduced pollution, rebuilding or protecting fish stocks with rights-based management, ICM, habitat (blue forest) restoration/conservation, and port management and produce measurable results. Indicator 2.3 Measurable results for reducing land-based pollution, habitat, and sustainable fisheries from local demonstrations;</p>	<p>Output 2.2: National and local policy/legal/ institutional reforms adopted/ implemented</p> <p>Output 2.3: Types of technologies and measures implemented in local demonstrations and investments.</p>	GEF TF	2,000,000	182,047,253
(select)			(select)		
(select)			(select)		
(select)			(select)		
(select)			(select)		
<b>Total project costs</b>				7,000,000	648,340,000

## B. PROJECT FRAMEWORK

**Project Objective: The Philippine Rural Development Project aims to increase rural incomes and enhance farm and fishery productivity in the targeted areas.**

Project Component	Grant Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	Grant Amount (\$)	Confirmed Cofinancing (\$)
Component 1: Local	Inv	• Rationalized DA	• Enhanced AFMP	GEF TF	1,400,000	17,420,000

and National Planning		<p>planning, programming and budgeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PCIPs supported through effective technical backstopping</li> <li>• Improved biodiversity conservation and coastal resources management in 8 provinces</li> <li>• Increase in MPA management effectiveness in 34 GEF sites, as expressed in percentage increases over baseline levels of METT and MEAT scores<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enhanced Regional AFMPs</li> <li>• Enhanced Planning, Programming and Budgeting Guidelines</li> <li>• Enhanced Operations Manuals for Planning, programming and Budgeting</li> <li>• 3-year Provincial Commodity Investment Plans (PCIPs) in 81 provinces</li> <li>• Program Agreements of the RFOs and PLGUs in 16 regions and with DA technical agencies</li> <li>• Service contracts between the PLGUs and service providers to support the PCIPs</li> <li>• 6 RAFMPs and 8 PCIPs contain features supporting biodiversity conservation and coastal resources management</li> <li>• 34 MPAs across 8 provinces, and covering about 10,000 to 14,000 hectares, with enhanced Coastal Resources Management Plans</li> </ul>			
-----------------------	--	--	---	--	--	--

<sup>3</sup> Determination of increases:

Baseline	min% change at Mid-term	min% change at End of Project
15-40	10	20
41-65	8	15
66-85	5	8

Component 2: Infrastructure Development	Inv	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 30% reduction of average travel time from farm to markets in subproject areas</li> <li>• 20% increase in producers' satisfaction on adequacy of access to post-harvest services and facilities</li> <li>• Higher productivity as a result of increased cropping intensity and yields</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,265 km of new roads</li> <li>• 1,080 km of existing roads rehabilitated</li> <li>• 775 linear meters of single and double lane bridges</li> <li>• 30% increase in area provided with irrigation and drainage services</li> </ul>	GEF TF	0	440,300,000
Component 3: Enterprise Development(allocat- ing 1% of the IW part of the GEF funding to support IWLEARN activities)	Inv	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 50% increase in producer groups participating in vertically linked commodity value chain clusters</li> <li>• 50% increase in producer productivity enhanced through arrangements for marketing and/or technical services</li> <li>• 25% increase in producer groups and fishers who are resilient to climate change and adverse weather conditions</li> <li>• 10% increase of productivity in significant coastal biodiversity sites enhanced through improved resources management, biodiversity conservation, co-</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 50% increase in number of groups operating viable enterprises</li> <li>• 50% increase in the number of women directly benefitting from enterprise development</li> <li>• 50% of producers having formalized arrangements for marketing and/or technical services</li> <li>• Producer groups using climate smart technologies</li> <li>• 10% increase in fish stocks in areas of global biodiversity significance contributing to increased revenue for fishers</li> <li>• 34 MPAs with improved organizational capacity to undertake enterprise development of identified priority commodity and</li> </ul>	GEF TF	5,600,000	160,620,000

		management arrangements and knowledge sharing	improved monitoring and protection capabilities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 34 MPAs provided with organizational, operational and technical support to improve overall management and protection</li> <li>• Enhanced co-management arrangements in the 34 MPAs</li> <li>• Production of at least two Experience Notes, establishment of a project website, participation in regional IWLEARN activities as well as the participation in IWCs by at least two government representatives as well as relevant project staff during the project lifetime</li> </ul>				
	(select)			(select)			
	(select)			(select)			
	(select)			(select)			
	(select)			(select)			
Subtotal						7,000,000	618,340,000
Project management Cost (PMC) <sup>4</sup>					(select)		30,000,000
<b>Total project costs</b>						7000000	648,340,000

### C. SOURCES OF CONFIRMED COFINANCING FOR THE PROJECT BY SOURCE AND BY NAME (\$)

Please include letters confirming cofinancing for the project with this form

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier (source)	Type of Cofinancing	Cofinancing Amount (\$)
Other Multilateral Agencies	World Bank	Loan	485,000,000

<sup>4</sup> PMC should be charged proportionately to focal areas based on focal area project grant amount in Table D below.

National Government	Department of Agriculture, Philippine Government	In Kind	163,340,000
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
<b>Total Co-financing</b>			648,340,000

**D. TRUST FUND RESOURCES REQUESTED BY AGENCY, FOCAL AREA AND COUNTRY<sup>1</sup>**

Type of Trust Fund	Focal Area	Country Name/ Global	(in \$)		
			Grant Amount (a)	Agency Fee (b) <sup>2</sup>	Total c=a+b
GEFTF	BD	Philippines	5,000,000	400,000	5,400,000
GEFTF	IW	Philippines	2,000,000	160,000	2,160,000
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
<b>Total Grant Resources</b>			7,000,000	560,000	7,560,000

<sup>1</sup> In case of a single focal area, single country, single GEF Agency project, and single trust fund project, no need to provide information for this table. PMC amount from Table B should be included proportionately to the focal area amount in this table.

<sup>2</sup> Indicate fees related to this project.

**E. DOES THE PROJECT INCLUDE A “NON-GRANT” INSTRUMENT? N/A**

(If non-grant instruments are used, provide in Annex D an indicative calendar of expected reflows to your Agency and to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF Trust Fund).

**ANNEX A: PROJECT PREPARATION GRANT (PPG) REPORTING<sup>5</sup>**

A. PROVIDE DETAILED FUNDING AMOUNT OF THE PPG ACTIVITIES FINANCING STATUS IN THE TABLE BELOW:

PPG Grant Approved at PIF:			
<i>Project Preparation Activities Implemented</i>	<i>GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF Amount (\$)</i>		
	<i>Budgeted Amount</i>	<i>Amount Spent To date</i>	<i>Amount Committed</i>

<sup>5</sup> If at CEO Endorsement, the PPG activities have not been completed and there is a balance of unspent fund, Agencies can continue undertake the activities up to one year of project start. No later than one year from start of project implementation, Agencies should report this table to the GEF Secretariat on the completion of PPG activities and the amount spent for the activities; and report to Trustee on the closing of PPG in the quarterly report to Trustee.

<b>Total</b>	0	0	0

**ANNEX B: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)**

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF Trust Fund or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

N/A