



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	9037		
Country/Region:	Kyrgyz Republic		
Project Title:	Sustainable Forest and Land Management Project		
GEF Agency:	World Bank	GEF Agency Project ID:	151102 (World Bank)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Multi Focal Area
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	CCM-2 Program 4; LD-3 Program 4; SFM-1;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$4,109,589
Co-financing:	\$15,000,000	Total Project Cost:	\$19,109,589
PIF Approval:	April 28, 2015	Council Approval/Expected:	June 01, 2015
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Ulrich Apel	Agency Contact Person:	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹	03/05/2015 UA: Yes.
	2. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	03/05/2015 UA: Yes.
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?	03/05/2015 UA: Yes. The Project Concept Note (PCN) review meeting agreed that key issues/constraints to be addressed by the project should be rearticulated to clearly outline the problems the project will address. These issues should then be linked to the proposed solutions (or project components), as well as clarify links to analytical

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
		underpinnings provided by prior and ongoing work in this area.
	4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	<p>03/05/2015 UA: Yes.</p> <p>The team is hereby requested to provide GHG benefit calculations and completion of table F in the GEF data sheet at QER stage as a precondition for CEO endorsement.</p> <p>The Project Concept Note (PCN) review meeting agreed to further detail complementarity with other development partners, including GIZ and FAO.</p> <p>It is further suggested by GEF to closely cooperate with GEF projects implemented by UNDP with relation to the forestry sector.</p>
	5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBS?	<p>03/05/2015 UA: Yes.</p> <p>The Project Concept Note (PCN) review meeting agreed that the components should be reorganized both in sequencing and in terms of priority and scope. Component III: Institution and Capacity Building will now be the centerpiece of the project as it will set the stage for the following two components. In terms of sequencing, some of activities under Component 1 would need to be implemented before Component 2 and 3 start. The new Component I will have a clear emphasis on creating the appropriate institutional framework and incentives at all levels of the public administration to allow a shift to a new model for integrated forest and ecosystem management including issues of policy reform, governance and transparency.</p> <p>Component II will still be focused on supporting investment and the implementation of the new</p>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
		<p>participatory management plans.</p> <p>Component III will address issues of information including maps, inventories, baselines, information sharing and decision making technologies. The information generated under this component will be crucial as input for the development of participatory management plans under Component II, to ensure these are based on actual use and status of current landscape.</p>
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	<p>03/05/2015 UA: Yes.</p> <p>Further consideration will be given as part of the project design process.</p>
Availability of Resources	7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The STAR allocation? 	03/05/2015 UA: Yes.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The focal area allocation? 	03/05/2015 UA: Yes.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The LDCF under the principle of equitable access 	n/a
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 	n/a
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focal area set-aside? 	n/a
Recommendations	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	<p>03/05/2015 UA: Yes. Program Manager technically clears the project. It may be included in an upcoming Work Program.</p> <p>Please note requested information to be provided at Quality Enhance Review (QER) stage and/or Decision Meeting (DM) stage as listed in the review sheet.</p>
Review Date	Review	March 05, 2015
	Additional Review (as necessary)	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement
Project Design and Financing	1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?	
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	
	3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?	
	4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)	
	5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?	
	6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?	
	7. <i>Only for Non-Grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow calendar been presented?	
	8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?	
	9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?	
	10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement
Agency Responses	11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF ³ stage from:	
	• GEFSEC	
	• STAP	
	• GEF Council • Convention Secretariat	
Recommendation	12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?	
Review Date	Review	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.