



**GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR PROGRAMMATIC FRAMEWORK DOCUMENT*
THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUNDS**

GEF ID:	9072		
Country/Region:	Global		
Program Title:	Taking Deforestation Out of Commodity Supply Chains (IAP-PROGRAM)		
GEF Agency:	UNDP, World Bank and WWF-US	GEF Agency Project ID:	5623 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Multi Focal Area
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	IAP-Commodities; BD-4 Program 9; CCM-2 Program 4; SFM-1;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Program Grant:	\$40,332,518
Co-financing:	\$443,200,000	Total Program Cost:	\$483,532,518
PIF Approval:	April 28, 2015	Council Approval/Expected:	June 01, 2015
Program Manager:	Ian Gray	Agency Contact Person:	Andrew Bovarnick

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments
Program Consistency	1. Is the program aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹	March 20, 2015 Yes the IAP is aligned with the strategic efforts of the GEF to overcome focal area silos and build the necessary linkages to help achieve sustainable development. The IAP will focus on addressing the drivers of deforestation by using GEF's wider partnership, complement country priorities and crowd in private sector engagement to enhance financial leverage. The program will contribute to the overall CIAP objectives to avoid forest loss and GHG emissions from commodities development and improved husbandry of commodities as well as BD4, CC2 and SFM1.
	2. Is the description of the baseline scenario reliable, and based on sound data and assumptions? Are the activities that will be financed using	March 20, 2015 Please refine the baseline information provided to give a more concise description of baseline scenario.

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

Program Design	GEF/LDCF/SCCF funding based on incremental/additional reasoning?	<p>Links to national priorities are not clear. Please provide additional detail within Section 8. Please explain how sustainability of achievements will be achieved post-program.</p> <p>April 1, 2015 Addressed.</p>
	3. Is the program framework (Table B) sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve program objectives and the GEBs?	<p>March 20, 2015 The program framework is sound and sufficiently clear. Inclusion of the theory of change may help understanding of the intervention logic. Please improve the identification of GEBs. Although it is not possible at PFD stage to quantify the scale or identify the location of GEBs the PFD should have them explicitly described for example avoided deforestation, improved forest management, certified or otherwise improved agricultural practices etc.</p> <p>April 1, 2015 Addressed.</p>
	4. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	<p>March 20, 2015 Please provide some additional detail on the socio-economic aspects from potential interventions such as improved land use planning, support for small scale farmers. Please provide additional information on stakeholders (the list appears to miss key stakeholder groups particularly government bodies). Also provide some description of how stakeholders will be engaged and involved in the program development and implementation.</p> <p>April 1, 2015 Addressed.</p>
	5. Does the program take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)	<p>March 20, 2015 Key risks identified. More detailed country and intervention specific risk analysis expected at CEO Endorsement.</p>
	6. If there is a non-grant instrument in the program, is the GEF Agency(ies) capable of managing it?	<p>March 20, 2015 There is no NGI.</p>

	7. Is the program coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?	March 20, 2015 Key initiatives identified. More detailed analysis of initiatives at country and intervention specific levels are expected at CEO Endorsement.
	8. Is the program implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	March 20, 2015 Please identify Program Commitment Deadline in Part 1. The program is a complex matrix of countries, commodities and child projects. Please provide some additional detail of how Governments are involved in implementation at program level and also how this will be structured and developed within each country. April 1, 2015 Addressed.
	9. Does the program include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?	March 20, 2015 Basic details are available. How will the program coordinate M&E efforts between the four child projects? April 1, 2015 Additional detail provided in text. Addressed.
	10. Does the program have description of knowledge management plan?	March 20, 2015 Please provide some additional details on the knowledge management platform and how this links into existing initiatives. April 1, 2015 Additional links provided and detail on KM planned efforts provided. Addressed.
Resource Availability	11. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):	
	• the STAR allocation?	March 20, 2015 The program is resourced entirely from the IAP envelope and is within the resource limit of \$45 million.
	• the focal area allocation?	
	• the LDCF under the principle of equitable access?	
	• the SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?	
	• focal area set-aside?	

PFD Clearance	Is the PFD recommended for clearance to include in the work program?	March 20, 2015 Not at this stage, please address comments above.
		April 1, 2015 Comments addressed. Technical clearance recommended.
Review Date (s)	Review*	March 20, 2015
	Additional Review (as necessary)	April 01, 2015
	Additional Review (as necessary)	

* This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the program. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.