



GEF-6 WORLD BANK APPRAISAL STAGE: GEF DATA SHEET
REQUEST FOR CEO ENDORSEMENT
PROJECT TYPE: FULL-SIZED PROJECT
TYPE OF TRUST FUND: GEF TRUST FUND

For more information about GEF, visit TheGEF.org

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title: Council approved child project title: Connectivity and Biodiversity Conservation in the Colombian Amazon (October 2015) with two GEF agencies. WBG title: Forest conservation and sustainability in the heart of the Colombian Amazon -Additional Finance UNDP title: Connectivity and Biodiversity Conservation in the Colombian Amazon			
Country(ies):	Colombia	GEF Project ID: ¹	9663
GEF Agency(ies):	WBG and UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	P158003 (WB) 5715 (UNDP)
Other Executing Partner(s):	Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development, Heritage Natural Fund for Biodiversity and Protected Areas	Submission Date:	2016-05-15
GEF Focal Area (s):	Multi-focal Areas	Project Duration(Months)	60
Integrated Approach Pilot	IAP-Cities <input type="checkbox"/> IAP-Commodities <input type="checkbox"/> IAP-Food Security <input type="checkbox"/>		
Name of Parent Program	Amazon Sustainable Landscapes Program	Agency Fee (\$):	WBG: 1,080,000 UNDP: 810,000

A. FOCAL AREA STRATEGY FRAMEWORK AND OTHER PROGRAM STRATEGIES²

Focal Area Objectives/Programs	Focal Area Outcomes	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing (\$)	Cofinancing (\$)
BD-1 Program 1	Improved management effectiveness of protected areas;	GEFTF	4,000,000	15,880,030
BD-1 Program 2	Increase in area of terrestrial and marine ecosystems of global significance in new protected areas and increase in threatened species of global significance protected in new protected areas;	GEFTF	4,000,000	17,530,120
BD-4 Program 9	Increased area of production landscapes and seascapes that integrate conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity into management. Sector policies and regulatory frameworks incorporate biodiversity considerations.	GEFTF	4,000,000	25,400,809
LD-1 Program 2	Improved agricultural, rangeland and pastoral management.	GEFTF	2,000,000	12,800,250
SFM-1	Cross-sector policy and planning approaches at appropriate governance scales, avoid loss of high conservation value	GEFTF	2,500,000	15,411,765

¹ Project ID number will be assigned by GEFSEC.

² When completing Table A, refer to the excerpts on [GEF 6 Results Frameworks for GETF, LDCF and SCCF](#) and [CBIT Programming Directions](#).

	forests. Innovative mechanisms avoid the loss of high conservation value forest.			
SFM-3	Integrated landscape restoration plans to maintain forest ecosystem services are implemented at appropriate scales by government, private sector and local community actors, both women and men.	GEFTF	4,000,000	14,157,865
SFM-4	Improved collaboration between countries and across sectors on the implementation of SFM.	GEFTF	500,000	6,029,085
Total project costs			21,000,000	107,209,924

B. PROJECT FRAMEWORK

Project Objective:

WB Part 1: Improve governance and promote sustainable land-use activities in order to reduce deforestation and conserve biodiversity in the Project area

UNDP Part 2: Improve connectivity and conserve biodiversity through the strengthening of institutions and local organizations to ensure integral low-carbon-emission management and peace building

Project Component	Grant Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing (\$)	Confirmed Cofinancing (\$)
PART 1: WBG Improve governance and promote conservation and sustainable land-use activities in project areas	Inv	<p>Three new protected areas and the expansion of one existing protected area of environmental significance totaling 1.3 million hectares brought under legal protection (conserve biodiversity, reduce (avoided) deforestation)</p> <p>METT average score of five existing protected areas (PAs) (PNNSCH, PNNAFIW, PNNSCHAW, SFPMOIA and PNNPaya) totaling 3.4 million hectares increased (from 47 to 59)</p> <p>9,746,487 TCO₂eq of total lifetime direct GHG emissions avoided (see FAO Exact Tracking Tool)</p> <p>Capacities of environmental authorities for forest monitoring according to specific action plans</p>	<p>Protected Areas declaration process carried out for three new protected areas and for expansion of one existing protected area</p> <p>Management plans (governance, coordination with indigenous people and rural communities, research and monitoring) for 5 existing National Protected Areas implemented</p> <p>Early warning system reports generated by CDS and validated by IDEAM</p> <p>Intensive monitoring network implemented in selected project areas</p>	GEF TF	11,428,570	61,346,575

		<p>increased from low to medium</p> <p>GHG emission levels established annually for the Amazon Region by IDEAM</p> <p>11,384³ hectares under low GHG management practices (disaggregated between maintenance 9,784 ha, direct restoration 1,600 ha)</p> <p>672,202 hectares of sustainably managed forest under management plans (predominantly forest areas include Ramsar, indigenous territories and areas under land tenure formalization processes)</p> <p>7,075 people in project areas with improved access to conservation-friendly livelihood activities that improve their welfare</p> <p>Eight regional and south-south exchanges delivered that promote sustainable integrated landscape management in the Amazon</p>	<p>to provide scientific and technical information on the role of forests in the hydro meteorological cycle</p> <p>Technical coordination platform of existing system implemented (area of forest/deforestation at various scales) to ensure the operational interface between the Forest and Carbon Monitory System (SMBYC), the System of Environmental Information of the Amazon (SIATAC) and the information systems under the responsibility of regional environmental authorities.</p> <p>Planning of the agreement for Conservation, restoration and sustainable use (SINCHI) for 400 new rural families carried out as a result of an integrated methodological approach.</p> <p>Management plans implemented</p> <p>Six Conservation agreements signed</p> <p>Regional knowledge exchange and capacity building carried out</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

³ The Government of Colombia requested that a conservative approach be used for the emission reduction calculation and indicated that of the estimated 19,830 ha were sustainable forest will be conducted, 11,384 ha would be used for the emission reductions calculations as follows: 70% of the 9,208 ha of properties with mixed forest and agroforestry systems; 30% of 8,120 ha of new forest areas that will have conservation agreements; 100% of 902 ha of new farms to be registered by December 2017 and 100% of 1,600 ha of new areas to be restored.

		One mechanism for funding of PA system in Colombia designed and operational (Colombia Heritage)	Consultation and legal studies carried out			
PART 2: UNDP Rural development with a low-carbon-emission approach and capacity-building for mainstreaming environmental management and peacebuilding	Inv	<p>Sustainable productive landscapes that maintain and/or improve forest coverage and ecosystem connectivity and reduce emissions in the areas prioritized for peace-building delivers the following outcomes:</p> <p>a) 11 new partnership mechanisms with funding for sustainable management solutions of natural resources, and ecosystems services in selected landscapes of the western Amazon;</p> <p>b) 4,000 people benefitting from strengthened livelihoods through solutions for management of natural resources, and ecosystems services in selected landscapes of the western Amazon;</p> <p>c) 60,000 to 80,000 ha of production landscapes that maintain and/or increase forest cover and ecosystem connectivity, and reduce emissions;</p> <p>d) 1,655 ha of sustainable production systems that reduce pressure on natural forests and soils, and increase connectivity between ecosystems</p> <p>e) 500 families participating in sustainable production processes (SFM) with a gender focus</p> <p>f) 300 families of victims of the armed conflict and/or in the process of reintegrating into civilian life associated with social organizations implementing sustainable production activities</p> <p>Low-carbon-emission rural development and sustainable forest criteria mainstreamed into financial plans and instruments of priority sectors, delivers the</p>	<p>Consolidated sustainable production landscapes maintain and/or increase forest cover and ecosystem connectivity and reduce emissions.</p> <p>Sustainable production systems developed and consolidated using best production practices.</p> <p>Community, rural, indigenous, and women's organizations strengthened to manage sustainable production practices in a peace-building context.</p> <p>Integrated climate change plans developed and under implementation.</p>	GEFTF	8,571,430	45,863,349

		<p>following outcomes: a) Key species present in consolidated connectivity areas by project end (key species common in connectivity areas may include peccaries, small cat predators, birds, and monkeys) b) Members of public (350) and private (250) stakeholders, and community (800) organizations who improve their skills (measured through the UNDP Capacity Development Scorecard, to be completed during the first three months of project implementation) for managing low-carbon-emission rural development</p> <p>Economic, financial and market mechanisms that promote sustainable production systems delivers the following outcomes: a) Increase in the income of producers resulting from the adoption of environmentally friendly production practices (baseline and target to be determined during first year of project implementation); b) 400 producers (women: 200; men: 200) benefitted by economic and financial mechanisms</p>	<p>Economic, financial, and market mechanisms incentivize sustainable production and conservation in production landscapes in accordance with the conditions of the territory.</p> <p>Regional green businesses program supported for implementation.</p> <p>Number of initiatives under implementation for sustainable production systems and increased connectivity in other landscapes in the country (target to be determined during project implementation)</p> <p>30 media outlets and publications that document and disseminate successful experiences from the implementation of sustainable production systems, low-carbon-emission development, and activities to consolidate peace</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

	Subtotal		20,000,000	107,209,924
	Project management Cost (PMC) ⁴ WB 571,429 / UNDP 428,570	GEFTF	1,000,000	
	Total GEF Project Financing		21,000,000	107,209,924

If Multi-Trust Fund project: PMC in this table should be the total and enter trust fund PMC breakdown here ()

C. SOURCES OF CONFIRMED COFINANCING FOR THE PROJECT BY SOURCE AND BY NAME (\$)

Please include letters confirming [cofinancing](#) for the project with this form

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier (source)	Type of Cofinancing	Cofinancing Amount (\$)
Recipient Government	Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development – Vision Amazonia Program	In-kind	50,000,000
Recipient Government	Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development – International Affairs Department	In-kind	634,104
Recipient Government	Local Governments: CDA (CDS for the Northern and the Eastern of the Amazon)	In-kind	11,228,380
Recipient Government	Local Governments: CORPOAMAZONIA (CDS for the South of the Amazon)	In-kind	21,921,095
Agencies	UNDP	In-kind	7,000,000
Agencies	SINCHI (Amazon Institute for Scientific Research)	In-kind	3,091,058
Agencies	PNN (National Natural Parks Administrative Unit)	In-kind	2,260,575
Agencies	IDEAM (Colombian Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies)	In-kind	3,754,414
Agencies	PNF (Patrimonio Natural Conservation Trust Fund) Moore	Grant	1,000,000
Agencies	PNF (Patrimonio Natural Conservation Trust Fund)	Grant	534,813
Donor Agency	USAID	In-kind	2,885,485
CSO	World Wildlife Fund	In-kind	1,400,000
CSO	FCDS (Foundation for Conservation and Sustainable Development)	Grant	1,500,000
Total Co-financing			107,209,924

D. TRUST FUND RESOURCES REQUESTED BY AGENCY, FOCAL AREA AND COUNTRY¹

GEF Agency	Trust Fund	Country Name/Global	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	(in \$)		
					GEF Project Financing (a)	Agency Fee (b) ²	Total (c)=a+b
WB	GEFTF	Colombia	Biodiversity		5,500,000	495,000	5,995,000
UNDP	GEFTF	Colombia	Biodiversity		4,500,000	405,000	4,905,000
WB	GEFTF	Colombia	Climate Change		2,500,000	225,000	2,725,000
UNDP	GEFTF	Colombia	Land Degradation		1,500,000	135,000	1,635,000
WB	GEFTF	Colombia	Multi-focal Areas	SFM - AMAZON	4,000,000	360,000	4,360,000

⁴ PMC should be charged proportionately to focal areas based on focal area project grant amount in Table D below.

UNDP	GEFTF	Colombia	Multi-focal Areas	SFM - AMAZON	3,000,000	270,000	3,270,000
Total Grant Resources					21,000,000	1,890,000	22,890,000

¹ In case of a single focal area, single country, single GEF Agency project, and single trust fund project, no need to provide information for this table. PMC amount from Table B should be included proportionately to the focal area amount in this table.

² Refer to the [Fee Policy for GEF Partner Agencies](#)

E. DOES THE PROJECT INCLUDE A “NON-GRANT” INSTRUMENT? No

(If [non-grant instruments](#) are used, provide in Annex B an indicative calendar of expected reflows to your Agency and to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/CBIT Trust Fund).

F. PROJECT’S TARGET CONTRIBUTIONS TO GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS⁵

Provide the expected project targets as appropriate.

Corporate Results	Replenishment Targets	Project Targets
1. Maintain globally significant biodiversity and the ecosystem goods and services that it provides to society	Improved management of landscapes and seascapes covering 300 million hectares	3,400,000 hectares
2. Sustainable land management in production systems (agriculture, rangelands, and forest landscapes)	120 million hectares under sustainable land management	772,032⁶hectares
3. Promotion of collective management of transboundary water systems and implementation of the full range of policy, legal, and institutional reforms and investments contributing to sustainable use and maintenance of ecosystem services	Water-food-ecosystems security and conjunctive management of surface and groundwater in at least 10 freshwater basins;	<i>Number of freshwater basins</i>
	20% of globally over-exploited fisheries (by volume) moved to more sustainable levels	<i>Percent of fisheries, by volume</i> █
4. Support to transformational shifts towards a low-emission and resilient development path	750 million tons of CO _{2e} mitigated (include both direct and indirect)	<i>Metric tons</i> 9.7 million CO_{2e}q⁷
5. Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern	Disposal of 80,000 tons of POPs (PCB, obsolete pesticides)	<i>Metric tons</i>
	Reduction of 1000 tons of Mercury	<i>Metric tons</i>
	Phase-out of 303.44 tons of ODP (HCFC)	<i>ODP tons</i>
6. Enhance capacity of countries to implement MEAs (multilateral environmental agreements) and	Development and sectoral planning frameworks integrate measurable targets drawn from the MEAs in at least 10 countries	<i>Number of countries</i>

⁵ Update the applicable indicators provided at PIF stage. Progress in programming against these targets for the projects per the *Corporate Results Framework* in the [GEF-6 Programming Directions](#), will be aggregated and reported during mid-term and at the conclusion of the replenishment period. There is no need to complete this table for climate adaptation projects financed solely through LDCF, SCCF and/or CBIT.

⁶ The total project target for sustainable land management is the sum of WBG implemented project (19,830 ha + 672,202 ha) added to the UNDP implemented project (80,000 ha).

⁷ Calculations done using EX-ACT v7.1.3 tool FAO. For the 3.4 million hectares of PAs supported by the project, the assumptions made are: i) average yearly deforestation rate calculated for the period of 2000-2015 (0,17%, estimated from IDEAM Database) for the first three years of project implementation; ii) average yearly deforestation rate reduced by 50% (0,085%, assuming Project impact) for the last 3 years of project implementation. For the restoration/reforestation goal of 11,384 ha the assumptions made are: i) forest degradation will be improved from "moderate" to "low"; and ii) there is no fire incidence in the area of project intervention. The results obtained in terms of emission reduction according to the FAO EX-ACT Tool Model are: 6,047,036 tCO_{2e}q tCO_{2e}-eq for the PAs and 3,699,451 tCO_{2e}-eq for the restoration and reforestation activities adding up to 9,746,487 tCO_{2e}-eq.

mainstream into national and sub-national policy, planning financial and legal frameworks	Functional environmental information systems are established to support decision-making in at least 10 countries	<i>Number of countries</i>
---	--	----------------------------

ANNEX A: PROJECT PREPARATION GRANT (PPG) REPORTING⁸

PROVIDE DETAILED FUNDING AMOUNT OF THE PPG ACTIVITIES FINANCING STATUS IN THE TABLE BELOW:

PPG Grant Approved at PIF: NO PPG WAS REQUESTED			
<i>Project Preparation Activities Implemented</i>	<i>GEF/LDCF/SCCF/CBIT Amount (\$)</i>		
	<i>Budgeted Amount</i>	<i>Amount Spent To date</i>	<i>Amount Committed</i>
Total	0	0	0

ANNEX B: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/CBIT Trust Fund or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

⁸ If at CEO Endorsement, the PPG activities have not been completed and there is a balance of unspent fund, Agencies can continue undertake the activities up to one year of project start. No later than one year from start of project implementation, Agencies should report this table to the GEF Secretariat on the completion of PPG activities and the amount spent for the activities; and report to Trustee on the closing of PPG in the quarterly report to Trustee.