

THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUNDS

GEF ID: 4988

Country/Region: Georgia

Project Title: Alignment of National Action Programme and Reporting Review process

GEF Agency: UNEP GEF Agency Project ID:

Type of Trust Fund: GEF Trust Fund GEF Focal Area (s): Land Degradation

GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):

Anticipated Financing PPG: \$0 Project Grant: \$136,500

Co-financing: \$227,000 Total Project Cost: \$353,500

PIF Approval: Council Approval/Expected:

CEO Endorsement/Approval Expected Project Start Date:

Program Manager: Ulrich Apel Agency Contact Person: Adamou Bouhari

Program Manager. Unich Aper Agency Contact Person. Adamou Bounari				
Questions	Secretariat at PIF/WP inclusion	UNEP Response	Reference	
4. Does the project	Please provide brief additional information	Addressed. Section C	Para 20 and	
fit into the Agency's program and staff capacity in the country?*	on this point.		24	
 5. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply): focal area setaside? 	Yes. The maximum of \$150,000 is applied. Please note that only \$150,000 can be accessed within GEF-5.	Noted. The country has been notified. Paragraph 14 related to project objectives has been strengthen.	Para.14	
9. Does the proposal	Not fully.	Outputs related to outcomes	Project	
clearly articulate how	it is mentioned in Para. 8 the lack of	1.3 and 1.4 have been	Framework	
the	information exchange between institutions	strengthen to include the		
capacities developed,	and the lack of clear division of tasks and	inter-sectoral coordination		

if any, will contribute	responsibilities between agencies and	and information sharing	
to	between the central and the local level. In	and information sharing	
the sustainability of	addition, there is low communication	Para 15. Has been added to	
project outcomes?	between government and scientific	tackle these problems.	
	institutions that is responsible of poor	_	Para.15
	consideration of scientific findings in the	Par 17 has been strengthen	
	decision making process. Please clarify		Para.17
	how the current proposal will address these		
	problems. Please, develop the sustainability of the proposed approach.		
10. Is the project	No.	Noted. The appropriate	All the
framework sound and	Please use the appropriate template for	template is now used. Worth	document
sufficiently	Enabling Activities, available on our	to note that the template is not	
clear?	website.	user friendly.	
	Table B: The individual number does not		
	add up to the total.	Table B corrected.	
	Please clarify what is referred to with	"Cocord log" is the adapted	
	"Second Leg" in the project title and	"Second leg" is the adopted terminology of the next	
	project objective.	reporting cycle by UNCCD	
		secretariat. However the term	
		"Reporting process" has bees	
		now adopted to keep	
		consistency with Umbrella	
		project.	
11. Is there a clear	No. Please address in the relevant sections.	Addressed	Para. 16&17
description of how	No. I lease address in the relevant sections.	Addressed	1 ala. 10 0 .17
gender			
dimensions are being			
considered in the			
project			
design and			
implementation? 15. Is funding level	No. Plage adjust to maximum 100/ of the	Addressed.	Tables A and
for project	No. Please adjust to maximum 10% of the subtotal.	Addressed.	B
management cost	subtotal.		Ъ
appropriate?			
16. Is the funding and	Clarification request:	Addressed	Table B
co-financing per	Please assign budget figures to expected		
objective	outputs in Table B for better assessment.		
appropriate and			
adequate to achieve	Please check numbers provided in Annex	The expected during of	
the expected outcomes	with regard to the consultant input. Are all experts to be employed only for one week	The expected during of experts consultation during	
and outputs?	each?	the project period is 7 days	
and outputs.		spread over the project	
	Please also ensure consistency with experts	duration.	
	hired for Project management purposes and		
	Table D.		
18. Is the co-	Please clarify if UNEP is providing co-	UNEP is providing in-kind	Para. 24
financing amount that	financing in cash or in kind.	contribution of US\$ 10,000	
the Agency is			

bringing to the project in line with its role?*		
20. Is EA	9 May 2012 UA:	
clearance/approval	No. Please address clarification requests in	
being	this review.	
recommended?	Please use the appropriate template in the	
	resubmission.	