



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	9798		
Country/Region:	Angola		
Project Title:	Sustainable Land Management in target landscapes in Angola's southwestern region		
GEF Agency:	FAO	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Land Degradation
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	LD-1 Program 1; LD-3 Program 4;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$100,000	Project Grant:	\$2,639,726
Co-financing:	\$12,000,000	Total Project Cost:	\$14,639,726
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Jean-Marc Sinnassamy	Agency Contact Person:	Caterina Batello, Team leader AGPME,

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹	LD1 Program 1 (agro-ecological intensification) LD3 Program 4 (Scaling up SLM / Landscape approach). Cleared.	
	2. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes, the project fits with the UNCCD NAP and the NDC. Moreover, Angola has committed to set up LDN targets. Addressed.	
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the	Yes.	

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?	At CEO endorsement, please provide a full risk analysis.	
	4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	- At CEO endorsement, please confirm the cofinancing. We recommend to pursue the dialogue with multilateral and bilateral donors to provide cofinancing in grants.	
	5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Yes. - However, we recommend to revise the formulation of some outputs at CEO endorsement, to better reflect specific, measurable, accessible, reachable outputs, well defined within a timeline (1.3, 3.2, and 3.3). - At CEO endorsement, confirm the baseline and explain the activities financed by cofinancing partners. - When detailing the other projects in the area or the same themes, please provide the period of implementation (date of start, date of closure) and the amounts in US\$. - At CEO endorsement, please develop the lessons and knowledge acquired from past and on-going projects (including scientific publications).	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects,	Yes at PIF level.	

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - At CEO endorsement, please confirm the role of CSO (NGOs, academia, ...). - We take note (and appreciate) the potential role of traditional authorities as local mediators. - In terms of gender inequalities, at CEO endorsement, please share the results of the study that is planned on the subject, and explain how this study will impact the project reasoning and framework. 	
Availability of Resources	7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The STAR allocation? 	Yes, Angola has an available GEF6 LD STAR allocation of \$3 million. Cleared.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The focal area allocation? 	Yes	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The LDCF under the principle of equitable access 	NA	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 	NA	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focal area set-aside? 	NA	
Recommendations	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	The PIF is recommended for clearance. Please, check the comments above to include them in the PPG and address them at CEO endorsement.	
Review Date	Review	March 13, 2017	
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Project Design and Financing	1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?		
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?		
	3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?		
	4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)		
	5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?		

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?		
	7. <i>Only for Non-Grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow calendar been presented?		
	8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?		
	9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
	10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?		
Agency Responses	11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF ³ stage from:		
	• GEFSEC		
	• STAP		
	• GEF Council		
	• Convention Secretariat		
Recommendation	12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?		
Review Date	Review		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.