

## **GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS** THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

| GEF ID:                                    | 9477   |                                 |                  |
|--|--|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Country/Region:                            | Albania  |                                 |                  |
| Project Title:                             | Promoting Sustainable Land Management (SLM) through Integrated Restoration of Ecosystems |                                 |                  |
| GEF Agency:                                | UNEP   | GEF Agency Project ID:          |                  |
| Type of Trust Fund:                        | GEF Trust Fund   | GEF Focal Area (s):             | Land Degradation |
| GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s): |  | LD-1 Program 1; LD-3 Program 4; |                  |
| Anticipated Financing PPG:                 | \$45,662   | Project Grant:                  | \$867,580        |
| Co-financing:                              | \$5,650,000  | Total Project Cost:             | \$6,517,580      |
| PIF Approval:                              |  | Council Approval/Expected:      |                  |
| CEO Endorsement/Approval                   |  | Expected Project Start Date:    |                  |
| Program Manager:                           | Ulrich Apel  | Agency Contact Person:          | Ersin Esen       |

| PIF Review          |   |  |                 |
|---------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Review Criteria     | Questions   | Secretariat Comment                            | Agency Response |
| Project Consistency | <ol> <li>Is the project aligned with the relevant<br/>GEF strategic objectives and results<br/>framework?<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Is the project consistent with the<br/>recipient country's national strategies<br/>and plans or reports and assessments<br/>under relevant conventions?</li> </ol> | 4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes.<br>4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes. |                 |
| Project Design      | <ol> <li>Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the<br/>drivers<sup>2</sup> of global environmental<br/>degradation, issues of sustainability,<br/>market transformation, scaling, and</li> </ol>   | 4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes.                          |                 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)? <sup>2</sup> Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

| PIF Review                   |  |   |                 |
|------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Review Criteria              | Questions  | Secretariat Comment   | Agency Response |
|                              | <ul> <li>innovation?</li> <li>4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?</li> <li>5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?</li> <li>6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, including relevant g</li></ul> | 4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes.<br>4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes.<br>4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes.   |                 |
| Availability of<br>Resources | <ul> <li>indigenous people, and CSOs<br/>considered?</li> <li>7. Is the proposed Grant (including the<br/>Agency fee) within the resources<br/>available from (mark all that apply):</li> <li>The STAR allocation?</li> <li>The focal area allocation?</li> <li>The LDCF under the principle of<br/>equitable access</li> </ul>  | 4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes. Albania is flexible. STAR<br>resources are available.<br>4/22/2016 UA:<br>Yes.  |                 |
| Recommendations              | <ul> <li>The SCCF (Adaptation or <u>Technology Transfer)?</u></li> <li>Focal area set-aside?</li> <li>8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>4/22/2016 UA:<br/>Yes. PM recommends PIF for CEO<br/>approval.</li> <li>At final CEO approval it is expected<br/>that the fully developed project<br/>document outlines the projects link to<br/>Albania's Land Degradation<br/>Neutrality (LDN) target, if any, as</li> </ul> |                 |

| PIF Review      |                                  |  |                 |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Review Criteria | Questions                        | Secretariat Comment                              | Agency Response |
|                 |                                  | well as its link to implementing the LDN target. |                 |
|                 | Review                           | April 22, 2016                                   |                 |
| Review Date     | Additional Review (as necessary) |  |                 |
|                 | Additional Review (as necessary) |  |                 |

| CEO endorsement Review          |   |   |                                  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Review Criteria                 | Questions   | Secretariat Comment at CEO<br>Endorsement | Response to Secretariat comments |
| Project Design and<br>Financing | 1. If there are any changes from<br>that presented in the PIF, have<br>justifications been provided?  |   |                                  |
|                                 | 2. Is the project structure/ design<br>appropriate to achieve the<br>expected outcomes and outputs?   |   |                                  |
|                                 | 3. Is the financing adequate and<br>does the project demonstrate a<br>cost-effective approach to meet<br>the project objective?                       |   |                                  |
|                                 | <ol> <li>Does the project take into<br/>account potential major risks,<br/>including the consequences of<br/>climate change, and describes</li> </ol> |   |                                  |

| CEO endorsement Review |   |   |                                  |
|------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Review Criteria        | Questions   | Secretariat Comment at CEO<br>Endorsement | Response to Secretariat comments |
|                        | sufficient risk response<br>measures? (e.g., measures to<br>enhance climate resilience)   |   |                                  |
|                        | 5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?   |   |                                  |
|                        | 6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?   |   |                                  |
|                        | 7. Only for Non-Grant Instrument:<br>Has a reflow calendar been<br>presented?   |   |                                  |
|                        | 8. Is the project coordinated with<br>other related initiatives and<br>national/regional plans in the<br>country or in the region?      |   |                                  |
|                        | <ul> <li>9. Does the project include a budgeted M&amp;E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?</li> </ul> |   |                                  |
|                        | 10. Does the project have<br>descriptions of a knowledge<br>management plan?  |   |                                  |
| Agonov Posponsos       | 11. Has the Agency adequately<br>responded to comments at the<br>PIF <sup>3</sup> stage from:   |   |                                  |
| Agency Responses       | GEFSEC     STAP     GEF Council     Convention Secretariat  |   |                                  |
| Recommendation         | 12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?   |   |                                  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.

| CEO endorsement Review |                                  |   |                                  |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Review Criteria        | Questions                        | Secretariat Comment at CEO<br>Endorsement | Response to Secretariat comments |
| Review Date            | Review                           |   |                                  |
|                        | Additional Review (as necessary) |   |                                  |
|                        | Additional Review (as necessary) |   |                                  |