

| PIMS 5697 HCLME SAP: Catalysing the implementation of a Strategic Action Programme for the Sustainable Management of shared Living Marine Resources in the Humboldt Current System (HCS) | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| GEF ID: | 9592 | | |
| Country/Region: | Regional (Chile, Peru) | | |
| Project Title: | Catalysing the implementation of a Strategic Action Programme for the Sustainable Management of shared Living Marine Resources in the Humboldt Current System (HCS) | | |
| GEF Agency: | UNDP | GEF Agency Project ID: | 5697 (UNDP) |
| Type of Trust Fund: | GEF Trust Fund | GEF Focal Area (s): | International Waters |
| GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s): | | IW-3 Program 7 | |
| Anticipated Financing PPG: | \$200,000 | Project Grant: | \$8,000,000 |
| Co-financing: | \$91,912,027 | Total Project Cost: | \$100,112,027 |
| Agency Contact Person: | José Vicente Troya | Council Approval/Expected: | October 2016 |

| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Review | Response | Response added: highlighted yellow in PIF text |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| | 2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs? | 14th of June 2018 (cseverin): partly addressed. All points except point five have been properly addressed. The documents still do not elaborate on how a data sharing mechanisms will be developed, operationalized and hosted to enable optimal management of the shared natural resources, including fisheries and in particular anchovy stocks. Please include both in Table B as well as in the project component description section. | As explained before, at present there are no conditions to install a data sharing mechanism for the anchovy fishery. The countries have exercised cooperation on fisheries research for quite some time, but the process has not matured to advance towards a collaborative management regime of shared fishery resources. As outlined by Munro et al., (2004) cooperation in research is the precursor of cooperation in active management of shared resources, but a key barrier occurs when parties presume that the research information that is shared may benefit competitors. Agreeing a common management strategy among countries that exploit a shared fish stock is politically sensitive. In this sense, the fishery of the shared anchovy stock is a particularly delicate matter for both countries, who compete in the international market and have different management regimes. In the previous project (GEF Project ID 3749) the countries made significant advances and established ecosystem- | |

| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Review | Response | Response added: highlighted yellow in PIF text |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | <p>based fisheries management as a main goal for the Strategic Action Programme (SAP). Pragmatically, they set the design and implementation of coordinated conservation and management fisheries measures as a long-term action.</p> <p>The present project was designed to build on mutual interests, mainly to work together common methods and procedures for stock assessment and to initiate a process of collaborative joint stock assessment. To reach agreement on methods and procedures for joint stock assessment will be a major effort since it is clear that both countries have different views about the methods they currently use. The main endeavour will be to foster dialogue, collaboration and trust. During project preparation, the countries agreed to share and exchange information (as they currently do, based on the 1992 IMARPE - IFOP agreement that will be updated during project implementation) but not to have common databases.</p> <p>The present project can contribute to develop enabling conditions (mainly trust and working mechanisms for positive collaboration among parties) that will facilitate common action to manage the stock. But, as indicated, the current situation is not sufficiently mature to press for common data sharing mechanisms.</p> <p>Munro, G., Van Houtte, A. & Rolf Willmann. 2004. The conservation and management of shared fish stocks: legal and economic aspects. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 465. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Rome, Italy.</p> | |
| Agency Responses | Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF ₃ stage from: | 4th of May 2018 (cseverin): Please include a matrix/document that outlines how the agency have taken onboard or considered the multiple proposals and suggestions from | The comments from the STAP were addressed . | See Annex B of the CEO End request with STAP questions addressed. |

| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement Review | Response | Response added: highlighted yellow in PIF text |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------|
| | <input type="checkbox"/> GEFSEC <input type="checkbox"/> STAP | STAP. | | |