

GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	9360		
Country/Region:	Regional (Guinea, Liberia, Sierra Leone)		
Project Title:	West Africa Regional Fisheries Program, Additional Financing‎		
GEF Agency:	World Bank	GEF Agency Project ID:	131327 (World Bank)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	International Waters
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s): IW-3 Program 7;			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$10,000,000
Co-financing:	\$121,370,000	Total Project Cost:	\$131,370,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Astrid Hillers	Agency Contact Person:	

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	 Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?¹ Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions? 		
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and		

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Availability of Resources	innovation? 4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning? 5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs? 6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered? 7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply): • The STAR allocation? • The focal area allocation?	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	 equitable access The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? Focal area set-aside? 		
Recommendations	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	(2/3/2016) The comments of the PCN review (sent 1/7/ 2015) have been addressed in the revised document and in the review matrix which is filed in PMIS. The additional annexes on incremental reasoning and link to the LME in terms of substance and process are appreciated. Please by endorsement ensure that no less of 1 % of funds are set	

GEF-6 FSP/MSP Review Template January2015

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		Learn including participation at IW biannual conferences and drafting of at least two experience notes (currently appears to be < 1 %). The project is recommended for technical clearance and inclusion in a future work program.	
Review Date	Review Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Project Design and Financing	1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?		
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?		

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	 Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective? Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience) Is co-financing confirmed and 		
	evidence provided? 6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?		
	7. Only for Non-Grant Instrument: Has a reflow calendar been presented?8. Is the project coordinated with		
	other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region? 9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results		
	with indicators and targets? 10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?		

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Agency Responses	 11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF³ stage from: GEFSEC STAP GEF Council Convention Secretariat 		
Recommendation Review Date	12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?		
Review Date	Additional Review (as necessary) Additional Review (as necessary)		