



## GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	<b>9639</b>		
Country/Region:	<b>Uruguay</b>		
Project Title:	<b>Institutional Strengthening for the preparation of the Fifth National Communication to the UNFCCC</b>		
GEF Agency:	<b>UNDP</b>	GEF Agency Project ID:	<b>5943 (UNDP)</b>
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	<b>Climate Change</b>
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	<b>\$500,000</b>
Co-financing:	<b>\$150,000</b>	Total Project Cost:	<b>\$650,000</b>
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	<b>Milena Vasquez</b>	Agency Contact Person:	<b>Mr. Yamil Bonduki, Sr.</b>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Uruguay is eligible to receive resources.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	Yes, a letter from the operational focal point has been submitted.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes, the project is aligned with CCM 3, program 5	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes the project is consistent with the countries' national strategies and plans on climate change. Please clarify the following: 1) The EA request mentions that this project will support Uruguay to review and update their INDC targets and for the elaboration of their first NDC. Does this mean that Uruguay	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		<p>does not plan to use it's INDC as first NDC once it ratifies the Paris Agreement? When does Uruguay plan to submit their first NDC? And does it yet know if it plans to update or submit a new one on 2020 (or earlier depending on entry into force)?</p> <p>November 2, 2016: Comment cleared.</p>	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Yes, the components in Table A are sound and sufficiently clear.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Gender is taken into consideration in the project.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes the implementation and execution arrangements are adequate.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Co-finance is not required for this activity	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .	N/A	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?		
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		

<sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?</li> <li>• The focal area set-aside?</li> </ul>		
		The resources are available from the focal area set-aside.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	<b>11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?</b>	<p>According to the EA request, Uruguay plans to submit its fourth National Communication in October 2016. This EA will be approved once the Agency can confirm it has been submitted to the UNFCCC.</p> <p>Please also address comments on Question 4.</p> <p>November 2, 2016: All comments have been cleared. Uruguay submitted its Fourth National Communication on October 28, 2016.</p> <p>P.M recommends CEO Approval.</p>	
Review Date (s)	First review*	September 22, 2016	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

\* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**