



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	9393		
Country/Region:	Togo		
Project Title:	Project of hybridization of diesel engines of multifunctional platforms with solar systems		
GEF Agency:	BOAD	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	CCM-1 Program 1;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$91,000	Project Grant:	\$2,660,700
Co-financing:	\$16,768,000	Total Project Cost:	\$19,428,700
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Ming Yang	Agency Contact Person:	Fatoumata Toure

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹	MY 2/24/2016 Yes. It is aligned with Program-1 of CCM Objective 1.	
	2. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MY 2/24/2016 Yes. The project is consistent with Togo's commitment on a low-carbon development path that was confirmed in the country's Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) under the United Nation Framework Convention on Climate Change.	
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the	MY 2/24/2016	

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	<p>drivers² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?</p>	<p>Not at this time.</p> <p>Please address the drivers or root causes of global environmental degradation that is relevant to this project. For example, high cost of installation for solar power might be the root cause for the lack of use of solar systems. Then, justify how this project will overcome the root problem and make sure this project will be sustainable and have a transformational impact on the market in renewable energy investment in Togo. (Some of relevant information is shown on page 11. The PIF needs to be re-arranged and modified to meet the requirement).</p> <p>Please re-write the paragraph on page 12 that is addressing sustainability. Please focus on how the GEF project will continue operation after the project implementation period is over. Please answer specifically how the operation and maintainable costs of the 1 MW solar PV equipment will be covered, and how the regulatory framework and financial mechanisms for solar PV investment will be continually effective in the country.</p>	

project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		<p>MY 4/4/2016</p> <p>Yes, comments were addressed and issues were cleared.</p>	
	4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	<p>MY 2/24/2016</p> <p>Not completed at this time. While estimating GHG emission reduction of the project, please consider using the GEF recommended GHG emission accounting methodologies. Please see https://www.thegef.org/gef/ghg-accounting</p> <p>MY 4/4/2016</p> <p>Yes, comments were addressed. But detailed GHG calculations with GEF recommended methodologies need to be presented in CEO ER document.</p>	
	5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	<p>MY 2/24/2016</p> <p>Not at this time. In Component 2, please clearly indicate the number of workshops to be conducted, the number of programs and materials to be developed, and the number of agri-food/artisanal products to be generated from this project. Please also allocate some co-financing resources to Component 1. Component 2 in Table B is budgeted a total of \$13.4 million for 1 MW solar PV equipment and 50 kits of solar water pumps. The average cost</p>	

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		<p>of the solar PV system seems very high: over \$13 per watt. Please provide detailed information on the costs of the solar PV system and justify them.</p> <p>The GEF finance figures in Tables A (\$2,534,000) & B (\$2,660,700) do not match. Please fix them.</p> <p>MY 4/4/2016 Not completed at this time. Please check all the numbers carefully in Table B. The sum of sub-co-financing amounts does not match the total amount (\$16,768,000). Please double check every number in every Table in the PIF to make sure there is no mistake.</p> <p>MY 4/7/2016 Yes, comments were addressed and issues were cleared.</p>	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	<p>MY 2/24/2016 Yes, it is shown on pages 12-13.</p>	
	7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
Availability of Resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The STAR allocation? 	<p>MY 2/24/2016 Yes. Togo has a STAR allocation of \$7.2 million. As of 2/24/2016, the country has not used any STAR</p>	

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		allocation resources.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The focal area allocation? 	MY 2/24/2016 Yes. Togo has a STAR allocation of \$3 million in CCM. As of 2/24/2016, the country has not used any CCM allocation resources.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The LDCF under the principle of equitable access 	MY 2/24/2016 Not applicable (N/A)	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 	MY 2/24/2016 Not applicable (N/A)	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focal area set-aside? 	MY 2/24/2016 Not applicable (N/A)	
Recommendations	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	MY 2/24/2016 Not at this time. Please address comments in Boxes 3, 4, and 5. MY 4/4/2016 Not completed at this time. Please address the comment in Box 5. MY 4/7/2016 Yes, all comments were addressed and issues were cleared. At CEO ER stage, please present detailed calculation of GHG emission reductions by using GEF recommended methodologies.	
Review Date	Review	February 24, 2016	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	April 04, 2016	

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	Additional Review (as necessary)	April 07, 2016	

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Project Design and Financing	1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?		
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?		
	3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?		
	4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)		
	5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?		

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?		
	7. <i>Only for Non-Grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow calendar been presented?		
	8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?		
	9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
	10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?		
Agency Responses	11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF ³ stage from:		
	• GEFSEC		
	• STAP		
	• GEF Council		
	• Convention Secretariat		
Recommendation	12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?		
Review Date	Review		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.