GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR DIRECT ACCESS TO ENABLING ACTIVITY

GEF ID:	**5370**		
Country/Region:	**Thailand**		
Project Title:	**Third National Communication (TNC) and Biennial Update Report to the UNFCCC**		
GEF Agency:	**UNDP**	GEF Agency Project ID:	**5129 (UNDP)**
Type of Trust Fund:	**GEF Trust Fund**	GEF Focal Area (s):	**Climate Change**
GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:	**$0**	Project Grant:	**$852,000**
Co-financing:	**$700,000**	Total Project Cost:	**$1,552,000**
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	**Rawleston Moore**	Agency Contact Person:	**Yamil Bonduki**

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Thailand is eligible to receive resources.
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?*	Yes, a letter from the operational focal point is on file.
Agency's Comparative Advantage	3. Is the Agency's comparative advantage for this project clearly described and supported? *	UNDP has a comparative advantage for this kind of project. UNDP has extensive experience with these kinds of activities.
	4. Does the project fit into the Agency's program and staff capacity in the country?*	Yes the project fits into the Agency's program and staff capacity in the country.
Resource Availability	5. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):	
	• the STAR allocation?	
	• the focal area allocation?	
	• focal area set-aside?	The resources are available from the focal area set aside. US$500,000 for national communications and US$352,000 for the biennial update report.
	6. Is the project aligned with the focal areas results framework?	The project is aligned with the focal areas framework.

Project Consistency		
	7. Are the relevant GEF 5 focal areas objectives identified?	The GEF focal area objective CCM 6 support to enabling activities is identified.
	8. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions, including NPFE, NAPA, NCSA, or NAP?	Yes, the project is consistent with Thailand's national strategies and plans, for example the Thailand Climate Change Master Plan.
	9. Does the proposal clearly articulate how the capacities developed, if any, will contribute to the sustainability of project outcomes?	Yes. The project will enable Thailand to develop an efficient national inventory system, develop national capacity to prepare biennial update reports, and to enhance capacity on mitigation analysis, options and measure to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
	10. Is the project framework sound and sufficiently clear?	Yes the project framework is sound and sufficiently clear.
	11. Is there a clear description of how gender dimensions are being considered in the project design and implementation?	Yes. Gender dimensions are considered in the project design.
	12. Is public participation, including CSOs and indigeneous people, taken into consideration, their role identified and addressed properly?	Yes, public participation is taken into consideration in the project.
	13. Is the project consistent and properly coordinated with other related initiatives in the country or in the region?	The project is consistent and coordinated with other related initiatives in the country.
	14. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	The project implementation/execution arrangements are adequate.
Project Financing	15. Is the itemized budget (including consultant fees, travel, office facilities, etc) justified?	The itemized budget is justified.
	16. Is funding level for project management cost appropriate?	The project management costs are appropriate

	17. Is the funding and co-financing per objective appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	Yes. The funding and cofinancing per objective is appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs.
	18. Is indicated co-financing appropriate for an enabling activity?	The project is financed at full cost. Cofinancing is not required.
	19. Is the co-financing amount that the Agency is bringing to the project in line with its role?*	The co-finance amount that the agency is bringing to the project is in line with its role.
	20. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for financial management and procurement assessment.	
Agency Responses	21. Has the Agency responded adequately to comments from:*	
	• STAP?	
	• Convention Secretariat?	
	• Other GEF Agencies?	

Secretariat Recommendation		
Recommendation	**22. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?**	The enabling activity is recommended for approval.
Review Date (s)	First review**	
	Additional review (as necessary)	
	Additional review (as necessary)	

** **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments. Greyed areas in each section do not need comments.**