

GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	9673		
Country/Region:	South Africa		
Project Title:	Capacity Building Programme to Implement South Africa's Climate National System		
GEF Agency:	UNEP	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	Capacity-building Initiative for Transparency	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF	<u> </u>	CBIT-1;	
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$30,000	Project Grant:	\$1,100,000
Co-financing:	\$2,289,065	Total Project Cost:	\$3,389,065
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Dustin Schinn	Agency Contact Person:	

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	 Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?¹ Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions? 	DS, November 4, 2016: Yes. Project aligns with CBIT objectives. DS, November 4, 2016: Yes. Project aligns with South Africa's BUR, National Communication and INDC, in addition to other national plans and policies.	
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the	DS, November 4, 2016:	

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?	Yes.	
	4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	DS, November 4, 2016: Yes.	
	5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	DS, November 4, 2016: Yes.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	DS, November 4, 2016: Yes.	
	7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
Availability of Resources	The STAR allocation?	DS, November 4, 2016: This project requests funding from the CBIT Trust Fund.	
	The focal area allocation?		
	The LDCF under the principle of equitable access		
	The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	Focal area set-aside?8. Is the PIF being recommended for	DS, November 4, 2016:	
Recommendations	clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	Yes.	

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Review Date	Review	November 02, 2016	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	November 03, 2016	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	November 04, 2016	

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Project Design and Financing	 If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided? Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs? Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective? Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience) 		

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?		
	6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?		
	7. Only for Non-Grant Instrument: Has a reflow calendar been presented?		
	8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the		
	country or in the region? 9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that		
	monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
	10. Does the project have		

descriptions of a knowledge

11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the

management plan?

PIF³ stage from:

12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?

Review

GEF Council Convention Secretariat

Additional Review (as necessary)

GEFSECSTAP

Agency Responses

Recommendation

Review Date

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	Additional Review (as necessary)		