

## GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS\* THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUNDS

GEF ID:	5006			
Country/Region:	Sierra Leone			
Project Title:	Strengthening Climate Information	Strengthening Climate Information and Early Warning Systems in Western and Central Africa for		
	Climate Resilient Development and	Adaptation to Climate Change -	Sierra Leone	
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	5107 (UNDP)	
Type of Trust Fund:	Least Developed Countries Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change	
	(LDCF)			
GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):		CCA-2; CCA-3; Project Mana;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$4,000,000	
Co-financing:	\$18,389,000	Total Project Cost:	\$22,389,000	
PIF Approval:	May 31, 2012	Council Approval/Expected:		
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:		
Program Manager:	Knut Sundstrom	Agency Contact Person:	Mark Tadross Gr- LECRDS	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at PIF (PFD)/Work Program Inclusion	Secretariat Comment At CEO Endorsement(FSP)/Approval (MSP)
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	YES. Sierra Leone is an LDC Party to the UNFCCC and it has completed its NAPA.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	YES. A Letter of Endorsement, signed by the Operational Focal Point and dated April 18, 2012, has been attached to the submission.	
Agency's Comparative Advantage	3. Is the Agency's comparative advantage for this project clearly described and supported?	YES. UNDP has a comparative advantage in institutional capacity building, as well as technical and policy support in the area of climate change adaptation.	
	4. If there is a non-grant instrument in the project, is the GEF Agency capable of managing it?	NA	
1	5. Does the project fit into the Agency's program and staff capacity in the	YES. UNDP has a considerable portfolio of relevant projects, as well as	

FSP/MSP review template: updated 11-22-2010

		LINIDDIa and an annual in the second	
		UNDP's programming in the areas of	
		climate change adaptation and disaster	
		risk management is well described in the	
		PIF.	
	6. Is the proposed Grant (including the		
	Agency fee) within the resources		
	available from (mark all that apply):		
Resource			
Availability			
	• the STAR allocation?		
	• the focal area allocation?		
	• the LDCF under the principle of	YES. The proposed grant (\$4.4 million,	
	• the LDCF under the principle of equitable access	including Agency fee) is available under	
	equitable access	the LDCF in accordance with the	
		principle of equitable access.	
		principle of equitable access.	
	• the SCCF (Adaptation or		
	Technology Transfer)?		
	• Nagoya Protocol Investment Fund		
	• focal area set-aside?		
	7. Is the project aligned with the focal	YES. The proposed project is fully	
Project Consistency	/multifocal areas/ LDCF/SCCF/NPIF	aligned with the LDCF/SCCF results	
	results framework?	framework.	
	8. Are the relevant GEF 5 focal/	YES. The proposed project would	
	multifocal areas/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF	contribute towards CCA-2 and CCA-3	
	objectives identified?	and, specifically, CCA-2.2 on	
		strengthened adaptive capacity to reduce	
		risks of climate change-induced	
		economic losses; and CCA-3.1 on the	
		successful demonstration, deployment	
		and transfer of relevant adaptation	
		technology. More than 70 per cent of the	
		proposed LDCF grant would support	
		CCA-3.1.	
	9. Is the project consistent with the	YES. The proposed project is well	
	recipient country's national	aligned with Sierra Leone's Poverty	
	strategies and plans or reports and	Reduction Strategy Paper and it	
2	assassments under relevant	aantributes towards the implementation	I

	NAPA, NCSA, or NAP?	developing an early warning system.	
	10. Does the proposal clearly articulate how the capacities developed, if any, will contribute to the sustainability of project outcomes?	YES. The project combines physical investments in hydro-meteorological monitoring and early-warning infrastructure with capacity building for national hydro-meteorological services and other key stakeholders. The project includes a sub-component focusing on long-term public and private financing arrangements to ensure the sustainable management of the systems developed.	
		By CEO Endorsement, please provide a more detailed analysis of the risks associated with the lack of sustainable financing, institutional support and political commitment, along with appropriate mitigation measures.	
	11. Is (are) the baseline project(s), including problem (s) that the baseline project(s) seek/s to address, sufficiently described and based on sound data and assumptions?	YES. The proposed project would build on and contribute towards the following baseline initiatives: (i) the African Monitoring of the Environment for Sustainable Development (AMESD) project, financed by the EU; (ii) the UNDP project Capacity building of the Sierra Leone Meteorological Office; (iii) the UNDP Preventive Development project; (iv) the World Bank project Sierra Leone Rapid Response Growth Poles: Community-Based Livelihood and Food Support Program; (v) the DfID project Supporting the Government of Sierra Leone to	
Project Design		implement its National Water Supply and Sanitation Strategy; (vi) the EU Environmental governance and mainstreaming project; (vii) the UNDP/WMO/UKMO support to the Meteorological Department: and (viii)	

and Development Project.
The baseline initiatives are all relevant and adequately described for this stage of project development.
By CEO Endorsement, upon a more detailed understanding of the specific activities to be carried out in the context of the proposed project, please discuss the gaps and needs associated with the baseline initiatives to allow for a complete and thorough assessment of
the additional reasoning.
eness been rated, including s of the project ompared to es to achieve
t will be YES. The additional cost reasoning is LDCF/SCCF adequately described for this stage of project development.
By CEO Endorsement, upon a more comprehensive assessment of baseline initiatives, as well as associated gaps and needs, please describe in greater
detail the activities proposed for LDCF financing, their associated cost, and the additional reasoning on which these activities are based.
Yer sound and YES. The project framework is sound and sufficiently clear.
nodology and lescription of ional benefitsYES. The adaptation benefits associated with the activities proposed for LDCF financing are clearly described, based on sound and appropriate assumptions and methodology.

16. Is there a clear description of: a) the	YES. The socio-economic benefits and	
socio-economic benefits, including	gender dimensions are well described	
gender dimensions, to be delivered	for this stage of project development.	
by the project, and b) how will the	for this stage of project development.	
delivery of such benefits support the	By CEO Endorsement, please provide	
achievement of incremental/	further information as to how the	
additional benefits?	proposed project would reach the most	
	vulnerable communities and groups,	
	particularly women.	
17. Is public participation, including	YES. Public participation is adequately	
CSOs and indigeneous people, taken	considered for this stage of project	
into consideration, their role	development.	
identified and addressed properly?	······	
	By CEO Endorsement, kindly provide	
	further information regarding the local-	
	level stakeholders involved in the	
	project.	
18. Does the project take into account	YES. The risk assessment is adequate	
potential major risks, including the	for this stage of project development.	
consequences of climate change and		
provides sufficient risk mitigation	By CEO Endorsement, please provide a	
measures? (i.e., climate resilience)	more detailed analysis of risks and	
	relevant mitigation measures,	
	considering in particular the	
	sustainability of the systems established	
	and the capacities developed (see also	
	Section 10 above).	
19. Is the project consistent and properly	YES. The PIF identifies relevant	
coordinated with other related	initiatives and processes in Sierra Leone	
initiatives in the country or in the	as well as in the wider region.	
region?		
	BY CEO Endorsement, in addition to	
	listing other relevant initiatives, please	
	describe in greater detail how the	
	proposed project will be coordinated	
20 Is the environment in the state of the	with such initiatives.	
20. Is the project implementation/	YES. The project would primarily be	
execution arrangement adequate?	executed by the Sierra Leone	
	Meteorological Department; the Environmental Protection Agency: and	
I	Environmental Protection Agency: and	

		Management Department.	
	21 Is the main at atmost an auffinite the		
	21. Is the project structure sufficiently close to what was presented at PIF, with clear justifications for changes?		
	22. If there is a non-grant instrument in the project, is there a reasonable calendar of reflows included?		
	23. Is funding level for project management cost appropriate?	YES. At \$190,000, the funding level for project management costs is below 5 per cent of the sub-total for components 1 through 2.	
Project Financing			
	24. Is the funding and co-financing per objective appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	YES. The LDCF funding and co- financing are appropriate and adequate.	
	<ul><li>25. At PIF: comment on the indicated cofinancing;</li><li>At CEO endorsement: indicate if confirmed co-financing is provided.</li></ul>	The overall, indicative co-financing amounts to \$18.39 million, resulting in a co-financing ratio of 1:4.6.	
	26. Is the co-financing amount that the Agency is bringing to the project in line with its role?	YES. UNDP would bring \$2.89 million in indicative co-financing to the proposed project.	
Project Monitoring and Evaluation	27. Have the appropriate Tracking Tools been included with information for all relevant indicators, as applicable?		
	28. Does the proposal include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
Agency Responses	29. Has the Agency responded adequately to comments from:		
	• STAP?	NA	
	Convention Secretariat?	NA	
	Council comments?		

Secretariat Recommer	ndation		
Recommendation at PIF Stage	<b>30. Is PIF clearance/approval being recommended?</b>	YES.	
	31. Items to consider at CEO endorsement/approval.	Please refer to sections 11, 13, 16, 17, 18 and 19.	
Recommendation at CEO Endorsement/ Approval	32. At endorsement/approval, did Agency include the progress of PPG with clear information of commitment status of the PPG?		
	33. Is CEO endorsement/approval being recommended?		
Review Date (s)	First review*	May 25, 2012	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary) Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

\* This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments. Greyed areas in each section do not need comments.

## **REQUEST FOR PPG APPROVAL**

<b>Review Criteria</b>	Decision Points	Program Manager Comments
PPG Budget	1. Are the proposed activities for project preparation appropriate?	Yes, the proposed activities are appropriate.
	2. Is itemized budget justified?	Yes, the itemized budget is justified.
Secretariat	3.Is PPG approval being	Yes, PPG approval is being recommended.
Recommendation	recommended?	
	4. Other comments	
Review Date (s)	First review*	August 08, 2012
	Additional review (as necessary)	

\* This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.