

## **GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal**

GEF ID:	9733			
Country/Region:	Serbia			
Project Title:	Second Biennial Update Report and Third National Communication under the UNFCCC			
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	6012 (UNDP)	
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change	
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):				
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$852,000	
Co-financing:	\$90,000	Total Project Cost:	\$942,000	
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:		
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:		
Program Manager:	Milena Vasquez	Agency Contact Person:	Mr. Yamil Bonduki	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Serbia is eligible to receive resources.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	Yes, the operational focal point has endorsed the project.	
Project Consistency	<ul><li>3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?</li><li>4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?</li></ul>	Yes, the project is aligned with GEF's focal area objective CCM-3, Program 5.  Yes, the project is consistent with Serbia's national strategies and plans on climate change.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Yes, the components in Table A are sound and sufficiently clear.	

EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Stakeholder engagement and gender are taken into consideration in the project.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate? 8. Is indicated cofinancing	Yes, the implementation and execution arrangements are adequate.  Cofinancing is not required for this	
	appropriate for an enabling activity?	enabling activity.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .	N/A	
	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?	N/A	
Resource Availability	<ul> <li>The focal area allocation?</li> <li>The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?</li> </ul>	N/A N/A	
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?	N/A	
	• The focal area set-aside?	The resources are available from the focal area set-aside.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	Not yet. Project will be recommended for approval once the Second National Communication has been posted on the UNFCCC website.	
		November 1, 2017: Serbia's Second National Communication was posted on UNFCCC website on October 23,	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal. EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		2017. P.M recommends CEO	
	First review*	Approval. February 17, 2017	
Review Date (s)	Additional review (as necessary)	November 01, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)		

<sup>\*</sup> This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.

EA review template: updated Feb2015