

## GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	5814		
Country/Region:	Pacific		
Project Title:	Pacific Resilience Program (PREP)		
GEF Agency:	World Bank	GEF Agency Project ID:	P147839 (World Bank)
Type of Trust Fund:	Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF)	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):		CCA-1; CCA-2; CCA-3;	
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$5,479,452
Co-financing:	\$38,087,000	Total Project Cost:	\$43,566,452
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Fareeha Iqbal	Agency Contact Person:	Denis Jean-Jacques Jordy

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes. It is aligned with SCCF strategic objectives CCA-1 (reducing vulnerability to climate change), CCA-2 (strengthening institutional and technical capacities for effective adaptation), and CCA-3 (integrating adaptation in relevant policies and processes).	
	2. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes. It is aligned with Tonga's Joint National Action Plan on Climate Change and Disaster Risk Management (2010-15), and National Infrastructure Investment Plan.	

## **PIF Review**

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the drivers¹ of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?  4. Is the project designed with sound	Yes. The SCCF resources will contribute towards the Pacific Resilience Program (PREP), which has a regional focus, potential for scale-up to include additional Pacific SIDS, and supports the innovative establishment of a regional disaster risk financing mechanism for Pacific small island states.  Yes.	
	incremental reasoning?	Climate change adaptation benefits are identified.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Due to limited SCCF resources, we will need the overall funding request to total \$6 million, inclusive of agency fees and project preparation funds/fees. Also please correct the Subtotal line of Table B.  3/25/15: Yes.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes. We are pleased to note that community level consultations will be undertaken and would welcome community engagement throughout design and implementation. The GEF is pleased to note that gender-disaggregated indicators will be	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

DIE	D	•
PIF	K	eview

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		monitored where feasible and that gender empowerment activities will be conducted.	
	<ul> <li>7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):</li> <li>The STAR allocation?</li> </ul>		
Availability of Resources	<ul> <li>The focal area allocation?</li> <li>The LDCF under the principle of equitable access</li> <li>The SCCF (Adaptation or</li> </ul>	FI, 2/25/15:	
	Technology Transfer)?	Please see comment for Item 5, above.  FI, 3/25/15: Yes.	
	Focal area set-aside?	Tes.	
	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	FI, 3/25/15: Yes, the PIF is being recommended for clearance.	
Recommendations		An endorsement letter from the OFP of Tonga is pending; the Agency has conveyed that they are endeavoring to provide this by April 1, 2015.	
	Review	2/25/15	
Review Date	Additional Review (as necessary)	3/25/15	
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO endorsement Review				
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments	
Project Design and Financing	<ol> <li>If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?</li> <li>Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?</li> <li>Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?</li> <li>Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)</li> <li>Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?</li> <li>Are relevant tracking tools completed?</li> <li>Only for Non-Grant Instrument: Has a reflow calendar been presented?</li> <li>Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?</li> </ol>			

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
	10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?		
	11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF <sup>2</sup> stage from:		
Agency Responses	<ul> <li>GEFSEC</li> <li>STAP</li> <li>GEF Council</li> <li>Convention Secretariat</li> </ul>		
Recommendation	12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?		
Review Date	Review Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.