GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	**9818**		
Country/Region:	**Paraguay**		
Project Title:	**Second Biennial Update Report of Paraguay**		
GEF Agency:	**UNDP**	GEF Agency Project ID:	**6091 (UNDP)**
Type of Trust Fund:	**GEF Trust Fund**	GEF Focal Area (s):	**Climate Change**
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	**$352,000**
Co-financing:	**$55,000**	Total Project Cost:	**$407,000**
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	**Milena Vasquez**	Agency Contact Person:	**Mr. Yamil Bonduki**

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Yes, Paraguay is eligible.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Yes, Ms. Ethel Estigarribia endorsed the project.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Yes, the project is aligned with CCM-3, Program 5.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Yes, Paraguay submitted its first BUR on December 2015.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	MGV, May 1, 2017: 1. Could you confirm the expected date of submission. Page 4 says December 2107, but the date in the	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		first table is June 2018. 2. Could you clarify if the updated 2006 Guidelines will be used for the GHG inventory? Table A makes a reference to them, but Page 9 does not. Could you clarify as well if Paraguay will be able to present a consistent time series back to the years reported in previous NCs, as well as the summary information tables for previous submissions years, as encouraged? MGV, June 5, 2017: 1. Comment cleared. 2. Comment cleared.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Cofinancing is not required.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment[1].	N/A	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?	N/A	
	• The focal area allocation?	N/A	

[1] Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?	N/A	
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?	N/A	
	• The focal area set-aside?	MGV, May 1, 2017: Yes.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	**11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?**	MGV, May 1, 2017: Please address comments on Box 5. MGV, June 5, 2017: All comments have been addressed. P.M. recommends CEO Approval.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	May 01, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)	June 05, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)		

* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**