



## GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW SHEET FOR MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECT

GEF ID:	<b>9486</b>		
Country/Region:	<b>Morocco</b>		
Project Title:	<b>Greening COP22 in Marrakesh, Morocco</b>		
GEF Agency:	<b>UNIDO</b>	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	<b>Climate Change</b>
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	<b>CCM-1 Program 1;</b>		
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	<b>\$1,826,484</b>
Co-financing:	<b>\$3,600,000</b>	Total Project Cost:	<b>\$5,426,484</b>
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	<b>Ogawa Masako</b>	Agency Contact Person:	<b>Rana Ghoneim</b>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
<b>Project Consistency</b>	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? <sup>1</sup>	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	3. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MO April, 2016 Yes. In INDC, Morocco has committed to reduce emission by 32% below BAU emissions by 2030.	
	4. Does the project sufficiently	MO April, 2016	

<sup>1</sup> For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
<b>Project Design</b>	indicate the drivers <sup>2</sup> of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?	Yes. UNFCCC COP22 can have a significant environmental impact. However proper management and efforts to "green" the COP will provide an opportunity to reduce the expected carbon footprint. Innovation; this project will introduce low-carbon technologies in the city's cultural heritage. Sustainability and scaling up; the model garden and district will be replicated in other places.	
	5. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes. Morocco has already started BRT, solar-water heater and municipal solid waste project which will serve as important baseline activities for the project.	
	6. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBS?	MO April 29, 2016 (1) On output 1.1.2, please consider bottom-up scheme to encourage and engage the communities to implement low-carbon activities by themselves. Because of time constrains, the model district may have to receive full support to become "Eco-Qualiter", but the activities are not sustainable without engagement and commitment from residents. (2) Please clarify what proportion of the 1,000 charging stations will use renewable energy and/or what proportion of the electricity mix is provided by renewable resources.	(1) That is indeed envisaged within output 1.1.2-Eco-Quartier. In principle, the plan is to set up a climate smart service office within the district. The office will use a bottom up approach to disseminate low carbon technologies and support raising awareness through community engagement and active participation Details on that were added on pages 8-9. (2) The 1,000 charging stations are powered from sources that are 100% renewable energy from solar, waste and hydropower. The clarification was added on page 9. (3) The management of the animal waste will be considered while developing the concept for illuminating the carriages. Details were added on page 10. Furthermore, under output 2.1.2, it is

<sup>2</sup> Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
		<p>(3) Please consider activities or communication materials to describe innovative low-emission use of waste, such as animal waste from horse carriages.</p> <p>(4) On component 2, please explain how these elements fit in with Article 6 of the Convention and the Doha Work program on Article 6.</p> <p>(5) On output 2.1.1, please prevent paper waste as much as possible, using soft-media; smart-phone applications; and online resources. As for the brochures for residents, the project should prioritize information not on the Morocco-GEF partnership, but on the benefit of low-emission actions, and on how they can change their action and behavior and contribute to a low-emission society. As such, this material will have a better chance to be retained in homes without being discarded after COP. Documentation of the Morocco-GEF partnership can be disseminated by using more eco-friendly tools as well.</p> <p>(6) As for the information for delegate, please collaborate with UNFCCC secretariat to avoid duplication of effort and have greater reach, because UNFCCC is the most visited website by delegates.</p> <p>(7) Please use local material for the gadgets.</p> <p>(8) On output 1.1.3 and 2.1.4, please consider engagement with the hotel and accommodations sector. Low-emission actions by hotels/accommodations are</p>	<p>envisioned to showcase key low-emission projects including waste management (Waste water treatment plant-STEP) within knowledge sharing events.</p> <p>(4) Component 2 aims to contribute to Morocco's effort to implement the Doha Work Programme through the provision and dissemination of information and resource materials in line with paragraph 24 (a) of Doha Work programme. The materials will be developed in close coordination with the National Focal Point for Article 6 in order to ensure a country-driven approach and cost-effectiveness. Furthermore, in line with para 32 of the Work programme, the materials developed will be made available in online platform(s) offered by the UNFCCC Secretariat, such as CC:iNet and those of other related bodies, including the CTCN KMS. All activities under component 2 will be reported as part of Morocco's accomplishments toward the implementation of the Work programme as per para 31 of the Work programme.</p> <p>The project contributes to all six 6 categories of the work programme with specific focus on: B. Training, C. Public Awareness, D. Public access to information, and E. public participation, in particular:</p> <p>Output 2.1.1 targets a broad set of stakeholders to encourage actions in addressing climate change at individual level as well as collectively, prompting behavioural changes. Activities under this output will also facilitate public access to information by navigating where all relevant the information can be found in an existing platform.</p> <p>Output 2.1.2. supports public participation in addressing climate change. The project will reach</p>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
		<p>very much relevant to COP22 delegates, and tourism is a key important sector for Marrakesh.</p> <p>MO May 5, 2016 all comments cleared.</p>	<p>out to a broad set of stakeholders, both locally in Marrakesh and internationally to foster partnership, networks and synergies with delegates from other countries / regions. Output 2.1.3 targets a selected group of stakeholders with some awareness of clean energy and environmental issues as volunteers to be trained to support activities under Output 2.1.1, as well as to act as agents of change. Women, youth and any other underrepresented group will be encouraged to participate. Output 2.1.4 develops communication strategies on climate change targeting corporates as agent of change for climate action, in particular to engage them in actions addressing climate change beyond actions expected under their respective CSR strategies.</p> <p>The above clarifications were added on page 10.</p> <p>(5) Output 2.1.1 is in line with the sustainability policy of the hosting government where applicable. The number of printed materials will be limited to the extent possible, while giving due consideration to the local culture and preferred mode of communication. Various means of electronic dissemination of the materials and event information will be explored, including use of online platform offered by the UNFCCC Secretariat and those of other related bodies, such as the CTCN KMS as well as use of QR codes and USB memory sticks. Furthermore, the brochures for residents will include relevant information on climate change, the benefits of low carbon lifestyles and how to contribute towards a low emission society. The clarification was added on page 10.</p> <p>(6) Under Output 2.2.1, the project will develop an information package for delegates</p>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
			<p>which will be coordinated with the UNFCCC secretariat and distributed through the UNFCCC website and other relevant online platforms such as CC:iNet and the CTCN KMS. The clarification was added on page 10.</p> <p>(7) The gadgets will be purchased from local manufacturers and suppliers whenever possible. The clarification was added on page 11.</p> <p>(8) While the three pilots will be implemented at public buildings, the project will engage with the tourism sector and particularly hotels and riads (traditional houses that are used as small boutique hotels to accommodate tourists in the city) in a number of ways. As riads are an integral part of each district, with an average of 15 to 20 riads in each district, the concept for the Eco-Quartier (Output 1.1.2) will include activities to support the riads be environmental friendly. Relevant details were added and clarified on pages 8 and 9 of the project document. Furthermore, the lessons learned from the implementation of the pilots under output 1.1.3. will be shared and disseminated to the hotel industry.</p> <p>Within outputs 2.1.1. and 2.1.4. “ the project will carry out activities to promote to increase awareness on low carbon technologies and the Green Label to support wider adoption of the Green Label Standard in the hospitality sector. In addition as part of the engagement strategy with the private sector, the project will also reach out to hotels that have fulfilled the requirement of the Green Label Standard. The clarification was added on pages 10 and 12.</p>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
	7. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	8. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	9. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	10. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	11. Are relevant tracking tools completed?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	12. <i>Only for Non-grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow calendar been presented?	NA	
	13. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	14. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	15. Does the project have description of knowledge management plan?	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
<b>Availability of Resources</b>	16. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The STAR allocation?</li> </ul>	MO April 29, 2016 Yes.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The focal area allocation?</li> </ul>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The LDCF under the principle of equitable access</li> </ul>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?</li> </ul>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Focal area set-aside?</li> </ul>		
<b>Recommendations</b>	17. Is the MSP being recommended for approval?	MO April 29, 2016 Not at this time. Please address comments on box 6.  MO May 5, 2016 All comments cleared. Program manager recommends CEO approval.	
<b>Review Dates</b>	First Review	April 29, 2016	
	Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		