



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9469		
Country/Region:	Montenegro		
Project Title:	Development of Montenegro's Second Biennial Update Report (SBUR) to the UNFCCC		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	5868 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$352,000
Co-financing:	\$52,000	Total Project Cost:	\$404,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Rawleston Moore	Agency Contact Person:	Yamil Bonduki

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Montenegro is eligible to receive resources.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	A letter is on file from the focal point.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	The project is aligned with CCM3 program 5	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes the project is consistent with the countries relevant national plans.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	No. Clarification is requested on component 2 as to what exactly is the "possibility of developing country-specific emission factors assessed".	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		Please explain how this is a project output.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes, relevant gender considerations are included in the project.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes the project implementation and execution arrangements are adequate.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Co-financing is not required for this activity.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .	N/A	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?		
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?	Resources are available from the focal area set-aside.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	The EA is recommended for clearance. Please address box 5 Update July 6th 2016- Further clarifications, have provided on the issues in box 5. These clarifications	

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.
EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		are satisfactory.	
Review Date (s)	First review*		
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**