



## GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9336		
Country/Region:	Mali		
Project Title:	MALI First Biennial Update Report		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	5293 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$352,000
Co-financing:	\$71,000	Total Project Cost:	\$423,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Rawleston Moore	Agency Contact Person:	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Mali is eligible to receive resources to complete a biennial update report.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	A letter is on file from the operational focal point	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes the project is in line with climate change mitigation objective CCM3.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes the project is consistent with the Mali national strategies	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	For the most part the components in Table A are sufficiently clear. Clarification is requested from the GEF Agency as to why the year 2015	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		has been selected as the year for the GHG inventory. Please provide information as to which years GHG inventory data will be collected in the third national communications project. Please clarify whether an effective data collection system for GHG data is in place in Mali.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Please provide examples of the CSOs that will be involved in the project.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Please clarify. The Third National Communication is currently being executed by the Ministry of the Environment and Sanitation. Please clarify the relationship between , the Agency of Environment and Sustainable Development (AEDD) and the Ministry of the Environment and Sanitation	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	N/A	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .	N/A	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?		
	• The LDCF under the principle		

<sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?	The resources are available from the focal area set-aside 5,6 and 8.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	<b>11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?</b>	The EA is recommended for approval. Please address boxes issues identified in boxes 5	
Review Date (s)	First review*		
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

\* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**