

Naoko Ishii CEO and Chairperson

November 17, 2014

Dear Council Member:

World Bank as the Implementing Agency for the project entitled: *India: Efficient and Sustainable City Bus Services*, has submitted the attached proposed project document for CEO endorsement prior to final approval of the project document in accordance with World Bank procedures.

The Secretariat has reviewed the project document. It is consistent with the proposal approved by Council in June 2012 and the proposed project remains consistent with the Instrument and GEF policies and procedures. The attached explanation prepared by World Bank satisfactorily details how Council's comments and those of the STAP have been addressed. I am, therefore, endorsing the project document.

We have today posted the proposed project document on the GEF website at <a href="https://www.TheGEF.org">www.TheGEF.org</a>. If you do not have access to the Web, you may request the local field office of UNDP or the World Bank to download the document for you. Alternatively, you may request a copy of the document from the Secretariat. If you make such a request, please confirm for us your current mailing address.

Sincerely,

Eluz Grangir - Jones Naoko Ishii

Attachment:

**GEFSEC Project Review Document** 

Copy to:

Country Operational Focal Point, GEF Agencies, STAP, Trustee



## WORLD BANK APPRAISAL STAGE: GEF DATA SHEET

PROJECT TYPE: FSP Endorsement TYPE OF TRUST FUND: GEF Trust Fund

For more information about GEF, visit TheGEF.org

### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

Project Title: Efficient and Sustainable City Bus Services						
Country(ies):	India	GEF Project ID: <sup>1</sup>	4921			
GEF Agency(ies):	WB (select) (select)	GEF Agency Project ID:				
Other Executing Partner(s):	Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India; other State Governments	Submission Date:				
GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change	Project Duration(Months)	48 Months			
Name of Parent Program (if applicable):  ➤ For SFM/REDD+		Agency Fee (\$):	920,000			

## A. FOCAL AREA STRATEGY FRAMEWORK<sup>2</sup>

	Focal Area Objectives  Expected FA Outco		Fynected FA Outcomes   Fynected FA Outnuts		Trust Fund	Grant Amount (\$)	Cofinancing (\$)
CCM-4	(select)	Outcome 4.1: Sustainable transport and urban policy and regulatory frameworks adopted and implemented	Output 4.1: Cities adopting in low-carbon programs	GEF TF	732,000	2,855,000	
CCM-4	(select)	Outcome 4.2: GHG Emissions Avoided	Output 4.2: Energy savings achieved	GEF TF	8,468,000	110,781,000	
(select)	(select)			GEF TF			
(select)	(select)			(select)			
(select)	(select)			(select)			
(select)	(select)			(select)			
(select)	(select)			(select)			
(select)	(select)			(select)			
	·		Total project costs		9,200,000	113,636,000	

### **B. PROJECT FRAMEWORK**

Project Objective:							
Project Component	Grant Type	<b>Expected Outcomes</b>	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	Grant Amount (\$)	Confirmed Cofinancing (\$)	
National Capacity Building for Urban Bus Sector	TA	Outcome: sustainable city bus transport policy and regulatory frameworks adopted and implemented	Output 1.1 Policy notes and recommendations developed for sustainable city bus transportation and deliberations at national and state level initiated - at least 5 workshops	GEF TF	700,000	2,800,000	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Project ID number will be assigned by GEFSEC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Refer to the <u>Focal Area/LDCF/SCCF Results Framework</u> when completing Table A.

			held			
			Output 1.2 At least			
			50% NURM cities			
			i.e.33, trained in/			
			exposed to best			
			practices in low-carbon			
			programs including			
			improved fuel			
			efficiency techniques			
City Demonstration	Inv	Outcome 2: GHG	Output 2.1 Depot	GEF TF	6,000,00	108,185,000
Projects – Physical		Emissions Avoided	Equipment installed		0	
Improvements			and functioning in all			
			project cities			
			Output 2.2 ITS exetems			
			Output 2.2 ITS systems being used in all project			
			cities; MIS reports			
			developed and acted			
			upon			
			apon			
			Output 2.3Reduction in			
			GHG emissions by			
			230000 tons from the			
			business as usual			
			scenario in project			
			cities			
			0			
			Output 2.4 Rate of			
			breakdowns to not			
			exceed 5/10,000 kms in all project cities			
			an project cities			
			Output 2.5 Fuel			
			efficiency improves by			
			15%			
			Output 2.6 Increase in			
			overall ridership by			
			approximately 30%; At			
			least 3% increase in			
			proportion of women			
			ridership			
City Demonstration	TA	Outcome 3:Increased	Output 3.1 More	GEF TF	2,100,00	851,000
Projects - Technical		adoption of efficient	responsive bus service		0	
Assistance and		& sustainable city	plans prepared and			
Capacity Building		bus services	adopted in at least two			
			cities			
			Output 3.2 Atleast two			
			demo cities have			
			institutional systems in			
			place for monitoring			

	and evaluation of bus fuel efficiency progra  Output 3.3 Atleast two cities record improvement in women's user satisfaction as compared to their current calculated ind	0		
(select)		(select)		
	Subto	tal	8,800,00 0	111,836,000
	Project management Cost (PMC	C) <sup>3</sup> GEF TF	400,000	1,800,000
	Total project cos	sts	9200000	113,636,000

# C. SOURCES OF CONFIRMED COFINANCING FOR THE PROJECT BY SOURCE AND BY NAME (\$)

Please include letters confirming cofinancing for the project with this form

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier (source)	Type of Cofinancing	Cofinancing Amount (\$)
National Government	Ministry of Urban Development	Grant	62,866,000
Local Government	State Government of Madhya Pradesh and Bhopal City Links Limited	Grant	5,300,000
Local Government	Chandigarh Administration and Chandigarh Transport Undertaking	Grant	17,680,000
Local Government	State Government of Rajasthan and Jaipur City Transport Services Limited	Grant	24,110,000
Local Government	State Government of Maharashtra and Mira Bhayandar Municipal Corporation	Grant	3,680,000
(select)		(select)	
Total Co-financing		_	113,636,000

# D. TRUST FUND RESOURCES REQUESTED BY AGENCY, FOCAL AREA AND $COUNTRY^1$

		Country Name/	(in \$)		
Type of Trust Fund	Focal Area	Global	Grant	Agency Fee	Total
			Amount (a)	$(b)^2$	c=a+b
GEF TF	Climate Change	India	9,200,000	920,000	10,120,000
(select)	(select)				0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PMC should be charged proportionately to focal areas based on focal area project grant amount in Table D below.

(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
(select)	(select)				0
Total Grant Resources		9,200,000	920,000	10,120,000	

In case of a single focal area, single country, single GEF Agency project, and single trust fund project, no need to provide information for this table. PMC amount from Table B should be included proportionately to the focal area amount in this table.

### E. DOES THE PROJECT INCLUDE A "NON-GRANT" INSTRUMENT? No

(If non-grant instruments are used, provide in Annex D an indicative calendar of expected reflows to your Agency and to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF Trust Fund).

## ANNEX A: PROJECT PREPARATION GRANT (PPG) REPORTING<sup>4</sup>

#### A. PROVIDE DETAILED FUNDING AMOUNT OF THE PPG ACTIVITIES FINANCING STATUS IN THE TABLE BELOW:

PPG Grant Approved at PIF:					
Project Preparation Activities Implemented	GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF Amount (\$)				
	Budgeted Amount	Amount Spent Todate	Amount Committed		
Total	0	0	0		

### ANNEX B: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/NPIF Trust Fund or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

Indicate fees related to this project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If at CEO Endorsement, the PPG activities have not been completed and there is a balance of unspent fund, Agencies can continue undertake the activities up to one year of project start. No later than one year from start of project implementation, Agencies should report this table to the GEF Secretariat on the completion of PPG activities and the amount spent for the activities; and report to Trustee on the closing of PPG in the quarterly report to Trustee.