

GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9844		
Country/Region:	Guatemala		
Project Title:	First Biennial Update Report and Third National Communication on Climate Change		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	6120 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$852,000
Co-financing:	\$528,480	Total Project Cost:	\$1,380,480
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Milena Vasquez	Agency Contact Person:	Mr. Yamil Bonduki,

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	I. Is the participating country eligible? Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MGV, June 21, 2017: Yes, Guatemala is eligible. MGV, June 21, 2017: No. The endorsement letter is signed by the Political Focal Point. Please resubmit endorsement letter signed by the Operational Focal Point. If the roles have changed, please send a message to our Country Support team. MGV, July 24, 2017: A new endorsement letter has been submitted signed by the Operation Focal Point Mr. Carlos Fernando Coronado Castillo.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the	MGV, June 21, 2017: yes, the project	

EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	is aligned with CC3 Foster enabling conditions to mainstream mitigation concerns into sustainable development strategies.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MGV, June 21, 2017: Yes, the project is consistent with Guatemala's national policies. Guatemala presented its first NC in 2002 and the second NC in 2016, as well as its INDC on September 2015.	
	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	MGV, June 21, 2017: Yes.	
Project Design	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MGV, June 21, 2017: Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MGV, June 21, 2017: Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MGV, June 21, 2017: It is not needed for this activity. However, cofinancing of \$528,480 is listed.	
Other Comments	 Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment¹. 	MGV, June 21, 2017: NA	
Resource	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
Availability	 The STAR allocation? The focal area allocation? The LDCF under the principle 	MGV, June 21, 2017: NA MGV, June 21, 2017: NA	
	of equitable access?		

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal. EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	 The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 		
	• The focal area set-aside?	MGV, June 21, 2017: This project uses resources from the climate change set-aside.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	MGV, June 21, 2017: Not yet. Please address comment on Box 2.	
		MGV, July 24, 2017: Yes, PM recommends EA clearance.	
	First review*	June 21, 2017	
Review Date (s)	Additional review (as necessary)	July 24, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)		

^{*} This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.

EA review template: updated Feb2015