Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel

The Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel, administered by UNEP, advises the Global Environment Facility
(Version 5)

STAP Scientific and Technical screening of the Project Identification Form (PIF)

Date of screening: June 30, 2008 Screener: Douglas Taylor, STAP Secretary

Panel member validation by: N.H. Ravindranath

I. PIF Information *(Paste here from the PIF)*
Full size project GEF Trust Fund
PART I: PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

GEFSEC PROJECT ID[1]: 3224
GEF AGENCY PROJECT ID:
COUNTRY(IES): Global
PROJECT TITLE: Establishing Sustainable Liquid Biofuels Production Worldwide (A Targeted Research Project)
GEF AGENCY(IES): UNEP, (select), (select)
OTHER EXECUTING PARTNERS: FAO, UNIDO, IFEU, Oeko Institut, Utrecht University
GEF FOCAL AREA (S): Climate Change,(select), (select)
GEF-4 STRATEGIC PROGRAM(S): CC-SP 4 (Biomass)
NAME OF PARENT PROGRAM/UMBRELLA PROJECT:

II. STAP Advisory Response *(see table below for explanation)*

1. Based on this PIF screening, STAP's advisory response to the GEF Secretariat and GEF Agency(ies): **Consent**

III. Further guidance from STAP

2. The revised PIF addresses fully the issues raised in the original STAP review of this TR proposal, which was formally reviewed in January 2007. The Panel remains available to participate in the further development of the project and also, if invited, within any steering group established to manage implementation of the project

STAP advisory response	*Brief explanation of advisory response and action proposed*
1. Consent	STAP acknowledges that on scientific/technical grounds the concept has merit. However, STAP may state its views on the concept emphasising any issues that could be improved and the proponent is invited to approach STAP for advice at any time during the development of the project brief prior to submission for CEO endorsement.
2. Minor revision required.	STAP has identified specific scientific/technical suggestions or opportunities that should be discussed with the proponent as early as possible during development of the project brief. One or more options that remain open to STAP include: (i) Opening a dialogue between STAP and the proponent to clarify issues (ii) Setting a review point during early stage project development and agreeing terms of reference for an independent expert to be appointed to conduct this review The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.
3. Major revision required	STAP proposes significant improvements or has concerns on the grounds of specified major scientific/technical omissions in the concept. If STAP provides this advisory response, a full explanation would also be provided. Normally, a STAP approved review will be mandatory prior to submission of the project brief for CEO endorsement. The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.

[1] Project ID number will be assigned initially by GEFSEC.