



## GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR DIRECT ACCESS TO ENABLING ACTIVITY

GEF ID:	<b>5540</b>		
Country/Region:	<b>El Salvador</b>		
Project Title:	<b>Third National Communication (TNC) and Biennial Update Report to the UNFCCC</b>		
GEF Agency:	<b>UNDP</b>	GEF Agency Project ID:	<b>5212 (UNDP)</b>
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	<b>Climate Change</b>
GEF-5 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:	<b>\$0</b>	Project Grant:	<b>\$852,000</b>
Co-financing:	<b>\$178,900</b>	Total Project Cost:	<b>\$1,030,900</b>
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	<b>Rawleston Moore</b>	Agency Contact Person:	<b>Yamil Bonduki, Sr.</b>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	El Salvador is eligible to receive resources.
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?*	An letter from the focal point is on file
Agency's Comparative Advantage	3. Is the Agency's comparative advantage for this project clearly described and supported? *	UNDP has a comparative advantage for this type of project. UNDP has considerable experience with implementing this type of project.
	4. Does the project fit into the Agency's program and staff capacity in the country?*	Yes the project fits into the Agency's program and staff capacity in country.
Resource Availability	5. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):	
	• the STAR allocation?	
	• the focal area allocation?	
	• focal area set-aside?	Resources are available from the focal area set-aside.
	6. Is the project aligned with the focal areas results framework?	The project is aligned with the focal area results framework.

Project Consistency		
	7. Are the relevant GEF 5 focal areas objectives identified?	Yes the relevant GEF 5 focal area objective, CCM-6 is identified.
	8. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions, including NPFE, NAPA, NCSA, or NAP?	Yes the project supports reporting to UNFCCC.
	9. Does the proposal clearly articulate how the capacities developed, if any, will contribute to the sustainability of project outcomes?	Yes the proposal articulates how the capacities developed will contribute to the institutional sustainability of the project outcomes.
	10. Is the project framework sound and sufficiently clear?	Yes the project framework sound and sufficiently clear.
	11. Is there a clear description of how gender dimensions are being considered in the project design and implementation?	Yes, there a clear description of how gender dimensions are being considered in the project design and implementation.
	12. Is public participation, including CSOs and indigeneous people, taken into consideration, their role identified and addressed properly?	Yes, public participation, including CSOs and indigeneous people, taken into consideration, their role identified and addressed properly.
	13. Is the project consistent and properly coordinated with other related initiatives in the country or in the region?	Yes the project consistent and properly coordinated with other related initiatives.
	14. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes the project implementation and execution arrangement adequate.
Project Financing	15. Is the itemized budget (including consultant fees, travel, office facilities, etc) justified?	The itemized budget is justified.
	16. Is funding level for project management cost appropriate?	The project management cost is appropriate.
	17. Is the funding and co-financing per objective appropriate and adequate to achieve the	The funding and co-financing per objective are appropriate and adequate to achieve the expected outcomes.

	18. Is indicated co-financing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Co-financing is not required for enabling activities such as national communications.
	19. Is the co-financing amount that the Agency is bringing to the project in line with its role?*	Yes the cofinancing from the Agency is appropriate for this type of project.
	20. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for financial management and procurement assessment.	
Agency Responses	21. Has the Agency responded adequately to comments from:*	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• STAP?</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Convention Secretariat?</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other GEF Agencies?</li> </ul>	

Secretariat Recommendation		
Recommendation	<b>22. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?</b>	EA clearance and approval is recommended. The project is recommended to be included in an upcoming work program. Discussions with UNDP have noted that El Salvador is scheduled to submit its national communications in September 2013.
Review Date (s)	First review**	
	Additional review (as necessary)	
	Additional review (as necessary)	

**\*\* This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments. Greyed areas in each section do not need comments.**