



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

| | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|---|
| GEF ID: | 9810 | | |
| Country/Region: | Angola | | |
| Project Title: | Promoting Sustainable Energy Access for Rural Communities in South-Eastern Angola | | |
| GEF Agency: | UNDP | GEF Agency Project ID: | 5989 (UNDP) |
| Type of Trust Fund: | GEF Trust Fund | GEF Focal Area (s): | Climate Change |
| GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s): | CCM-1 Program 1; | | |
| Anticipated Financing PPG: | \$150,000 | Project Grant: | \$3,540,468 |
| Co-financing: | \$18,000,000 | Total Project Cost: | \$21,540,468 |
| PIF Approval: | | Council Approval/Expected: | November 01, 2017 |
| CEO Endorsement/Approval | | Expected Project Start Date: | |
| Program Manager: | Ming Yang | Agency Contact Person: | Faris Khader, Regional Technical Advisor |

| PIF Review | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment | Agency Response |
| Project Consistency | 1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹ | 3/23/2017 MY Yes. The project is aligned with GEF6 CCM-1, to promote timely deployment, demonstration and financing of low-carbon technologies and mitigation options. | |
| | 2. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions? | 3/23/2017 MY Yes, the project is consistent with the country's national strategies and plans in its SDG 7 and Paris Agreement. | |
| Project Design | 3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the | 3/23/2017 MY | |

| PIF Review | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment | Agency Response |
| | drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation? | Yes, they are described on pages 7, 10 and 11. | |
| | 4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning? | 3/23/2017 MY Yes. Without GEF's interventions, the poor in the country will continue using Kerosene for lighting and wood for cooking. This GEF project will have transformational impact on the country's energy system (Also, see page 4 of the PIF). | |
| | 5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs? | 3/23/2017 MY Yes. But in the CEO RE stage, please split the GEF \$1.75 million in INV to individual project outcomes (2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5). Please consider building more than Five solar powered kiosks for the rural communities. | |
| | 6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered? | 3/23/2017 MY Yes, on page 13 of the PIF. | |
| Availability of Resources | 7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply): | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The STAR allocation? | 3/23/2017 MY Yes, Angola is an LDC and this | |

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

| PIF Review | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment | Agency Response |
| | | country has not used any GEF STAR fund yet as of 3/23/2017. | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The focal area allocation? | 3/23/2017 MY Yes, Angola is an LDC and this country has not used any GEF CCM fund yet as of 3/23/2017. | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The LDCF under the principle of equitable access | 3/23/2017 MY Not applicable | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? | 3/23/2017 MY Not applicable | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focal area set-aside? | 3/23/2017 MY Not applicable | |
| Recommendations | 8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified? | 3/23/2017 MY Yes, the PM recommends PIF technical clearance. In the CEO ER stage, please do the following: 1. Split the GEF \$1.75 million in INV to individual project outcomes (2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5). 2. Consider building more than Five solar powered kiosks for the rural communities with the GEF INV funds. | |
| Review Date | Review | March 23, 2017 | |
| | Additional Review (as necessary) | | |
| | Additional Review (as necessary) | | |

CEO endorsement Review

| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement | Response to Secretariat comments |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Project Design and Financing | 1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided? | | |
| | 2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs? | | |
| | 3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective? | | |
| | 4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience) | | |
| | 5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided? | | |
| | 6. Are relevant tracking tools completed? | | |
| | 7. <i>Only for Non-Grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow calendar been presented? | | |
| | 8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region? | | |
| | 9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that | | |

| CEO endorsement Review | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement | Response to Secretariat comments |
| | monitors and measures results with indicators and targets? | | |
| | 10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan? | | |
| Agency Responses | 11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF ³ stage from: | | |
| | • GEFSEC | | |
| | • STAP | | |
| | • GEF Council | | |
| | • Convention Secretariat | | |
| Recommendation | 12. Is CEO endorsement recommended? | | |
| Review Date | Review | | |
| | Additional Review (as necessary) | | |
| | Additional Review (as necessary) | | |

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.