

GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	10045		
Country/Region:	Algeria		
Project Title:	Third National Communication and First Biennial Update Report of Algeria to the UNFCCC		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	5267 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$852,000
Co-financing:	\$2,400,000	Total Project Cost:	\$3,252,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Milena Vasquez	Agency Contact Person:	Mr. Yamil Bonduki

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	 Is the participating country eligible? Has the operational focal point endorsed the project? 	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Yes, Algeria is eligible. MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Yes, Mr Karim Baba endorsed the project November 16th 2017.	
Project Consistency	 3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? 4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions? 	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Yes, the project is aligned with GEF-6 Climate Change Strategy, CCM-3, Program 5. MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Yes, the project is aligned with several national plans and strategies related to environment and climate and its INDC and second national communication.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Not yet, please address following comments	

EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	a) The way the project outputs are listed in Table A and later described makes it difficult to differentiate between the activities that will support the National Communication and the activities that will support the BUR in accordance to UNFCCC guidelines. It is OK to present them in merged components, but it would be helpful if there could be additional indications to clarify this, especially considering the different report submission timelines. It would also be helpful to see a clearer linkage between the outputs in Table A and the activities listed later on. b) Please clarify if Algeria has already completed the GHG inventory for the years 2001-2010, as the SNC only covers up to the year 2000 and this project describes inventory activities toc omplete the years 2010-2020. Please also clarify if the TNC will include an updated time series through 2020.	
		c) Could you please comment on Algeria's circumstances to delay in requesting resources to support its first BUR and third NC, given that the second NC was submitted in 2010?	
		MGV, April 20, 2018:	
		a) Description of project outputs has	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		been edited to more closely follow Table A. In addition an annexed schedule shows the deliverables for each of the reports. Comment cleared.	
		b) Comment cleared. For now, the TNC will focus on 2000-2020 time series while the SBUR will complete the time series between 1994 and 2009.	
		c) Thank you much for your informative response. Comment cleared.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: gender elements are included as well as collaboration with local communities.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Yes, project implementation and execution arrangements are adequate.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Cofinancing is not required for this type of activity; however, cofinancing of \$2,400,000 is listed including \$900,000 cash and \$1,500,000 in kind from the Government of Algeria.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .	N/A	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal. EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	• The STAR allocation?	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: N/A. This enabling activity is requesting climate change set-aside resources.	
	• The focal area allocation?	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: N/A. This enabling activity is requesting climate change set-aside resources.	
	 The LDCF under the principle of equitable access? 		
	 The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 		
	• The focal area set-aside?	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: This enabling activity is requesting a total of \$852,000 from the climate change set-aside resources. At the moment, there are enough resources in the set-	
Secretariat Recomm	au dation	aside allocation to support it.	
Secretariat Recomm			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	MRS/MGV, April 5, 2018: Please address comments above.	
		MGV, April 20, 2018: All comments have been cleared. PM recommends CEO Approval.	
	First review*	April 05, 2018	
Review Date (s)	Additional review (as necessary)	April 25, 2018	
	Additional review (as necessary)		

^{*} This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.

EA review template: updated Feb2015