



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9732		
Country/Region:	Ukraine		
Project Title:	Review and Update of the National Implementation Plan for the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)		
GEF Agency:	UNEP	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$350,000
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	\$350,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Evelyn Swain	Agency Contact Person:	Kevin Helps

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Yes.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes. This is an EA.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes, this is an EA.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project	The project request \$350,000 which is above the \$250,000 typically provided for NIP Updates. Please	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	objectives and the GEBs?	provide a justification for the increased amount or decrease the request. ES, 9/19/17: Justification has been provided. Comment cleared	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	No co-financing is required.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .		
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	Not at this time. There is a question on the funding amount requested.	

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.
EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		ES, 9/19/17: All questions have been addressed. EA clearance is recommended.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	February 01, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)	September 19, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)		

*** This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**