



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9349		
Country/Region:	Suriname		
Project Title:	Minamata Initial Assessment for Suriname		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	5809 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$200,000
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	\$200,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Evelyn Swain	Agency Contact Person:	Mr. Jacques Van Engel,

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	The country is not a signatory of the convention but the Minister of Foreign Affairs has provided a letter indicating it is taking meaningful steps to ratify making them eligible for this EA.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes this is an EA under the Minamata Convention	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes, this is a MIA.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Yes.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Co-financing is not required for MIAs. No co-financing is indicated.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .		
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	Yes, EA clearance is recommended by the Project Manager.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	January 04, 2016	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.
EA review template: updated Feb2015

*** This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**