

## **GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal**

GEF ID: 9345

GEF ID:	9345			
Country/Region:	Sudan			
Project Title:	Minamata Convention: Initial assessment in the Republic of Sudan			
GEF Agency:	UNIDO	GEF Agency Project ID:		
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste	
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF	Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$200,000	
Co-financing:	\$118,600	Total Project Cost:	\$318,600	
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:		
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:		
Program Manager:	<b>Dustin Schinn</b>	Agency Contact Person:	Ricardo Savigliano	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	Is the participating country eligible?	DS, December 18, 2015:  Yes. Sudan became signatory to the Convention in September 2014 and is therefore eligible.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes.	
	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes.	
Project Consistency	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A	DS, December 18, 2015:	

EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Yes, components in Table A and associated activities described in Section C are sound and clear.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes.	
	CSOs considered? 7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes, indicated co-financing is appropriate for an enabling activity.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .	DS, December 18, 2015: Standard UNIDO policies will apply.	
	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
Resource	<ul><li>The STAR allocation?</li><li>The focal area allocation?</li></ul>		
Availability	<ul> <li>The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?</li> </ul>		
	The focal area set-aside?	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	DS, December 18, 2015: Yes. Program Manager recommends CEO EA Approval.	
Review Date (s)	First review* Additional review (as necessary)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal. EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	Additional review (as necessary)		

<sup>\*</sup> This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.