



## GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	<b>9475</b>		
Country/Region:	<b>Peru</b>		
Project Title:	<b>National action plan on mercury in the artisanal and small-scale gold mining sector in Peru</b>		
GEF Agency:	<b>UNIDO</b>	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	<b>Chemicals and Waste</b>
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	<b>\$500,000</b>
Co-financing:	<b>\$235,000</b>	Total Project Cost:	<b>\$735,000</b>
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	<b>Evelyn Swain</b>	Agency Contact Person:	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Yes, the country has ratified the convention and notification has been provided under Article 7.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	Yes, there is an endorsement letter.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes, this is an enabling activity for a ASGM National Action Plan.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes, this is an enabling activity for a ASGM National Action Plan.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project	Yes, this project will support a NAP for ASGM.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	objectives and the GEBs?		
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes, Artisanal Gold Council will lead execution, working closely with Ministry of Environment, Mines, Health, and Finance.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Yes.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .		
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	<b>11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?</b>	Yes, EA clearance is recommended by the project manager.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	April 19, 2016	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

<sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.  
EA review template: updated Feb2015

**\* This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**