

## **GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal**

\_\_\_\_\_

GEF ID:	9343			
Country/Region:	Morocco			
Project Title:	Strengthen the national decision making mechanism to ratify the Minamata convention and strengthen			
	national capacities for the implementation of its futures provisions			
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	5745 (UNDP)	
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste	
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):				
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$200,000	
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	\$200,000	
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:		
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:		
Program Manager:	Ogawa Masako	Agency Contact Person:	Jacques Van Engel	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	1. Is the participating country eligible?	MO November 25, 2015 Yes. Morocco signed the Convention in June 2014.	
Eligibility	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MO November 25, 2015 Focal point submitted the letter, but the amount requested is different from the project document. Letter requested \$200,000 including agency fee, but the Table C of the project document requested \$219,000. Please revise either the letter or the project document.  MO April 4 2016 The revised letter was submitted.	

EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Comment cleared. MO November 25, 2015 Yes.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MO November 25, 2015 Yes.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	MO November 25, 2015 Please explain how the mercury inventory will be developed, and if the UNEP "Toolkit for identification and quantification of mercury releases" will be used.  MO April 4 2016 Comment cleared.	Yes, UNDP intends to use available reference toolkits such as the UNEP Toolkit (Levels 1 and 2) and the emerging UNDP's guidance document to ensure consistency in UNDP's approach across a number of recently approved MIA programmes. UNDP's draft guidance materials were shared with IOMC and its mercury contact group and once the review time is completed, it will be adopted for use.
Troject Design	<ul> <li>6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?</li> <li>7. Is the project implementation/</li> </ul>	MO November 25, 2015 Yes.  MO November 25, 2015	
	execution arrangement adequate?  8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Yes. MO November 25, 2015 Not applicable. This is an EA and cofinancing is not a requirement.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .		
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal. EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?	NA	
	• The focal area allocation?	MO November 25, 2015 Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?	NA	
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?	NA	
	• The focal area set-aside?	NA	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	MO November 25, 2015 Not at this time. Please address the above comments.	
		MO April 4 2016 All comments cleared. CEO approval is recommended.	
	First review*	November 25, 2015	
Review Date (s)	Additional review (as necessary)	April 04, 2016	
	Additional review (as necessary)		

<sup>\*</sup> This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.

EA review template: updated Feb2015