



## GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	<b>9457</b>		
Country/Region:	<b>Madagascar</b>		
Project Title:	<b>Development of National Action Plan for Artisanal and Small Scale Gold Mining in Madagascar</b>		
GEF Agency:	<b>UNEP</b>	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	<b>Chemicals and Waste</b>
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	<b>\$500,000</b>
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	<b>\$500,000</b>
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	<b>Ogawa Masako</b>	Agency Contact Person:	<b>Kevin Helps</b>

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	MO March 25 2016 Yes. Madagascar ratified the Convention in May 2015. It submitted Article 7 notification in January 2016.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MO March 25 2016 Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	MO March 25 2016 Yes.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MO March 25 2016 Yes.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A	MO March 25 2016	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Yes.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MO March 25 2016 Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MO March 25 2016 Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MO March 25 2016 There will be no co-financing.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .		
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	MO March 25 2016 Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	<b>11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?</b>	MO March 25 2016 Program Manager recommends CEO approval.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	March 24, 2016	
	Additional review (as necessary)		

<sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.  
EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	Additional review (as necessary)		

\* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**