



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9478		
Country/Region:	Ghana		
Project Title:	National Action Plan on Mercury in the Artisanal and Small-Gold Mining Sector in Ghana		
GEF Agency:	UNIDO	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$500,000
Co-financing:	\$55,250	Total Project Cost:	\$555,250
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Ogawa Masako	Agency Contact Person:	Ms. Rodica Ella Ivan

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes. Ghana signed the Convention in September 2014, and notified under Article 7 in October 2015.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes. This is an enabling activity for a ASGM National Action Plan.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes. Ghana enacted the Small Scale Gold Mining Act in 1989.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and	MO April 22, 2016 Yes.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?		
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes. NDRC is co-executing agency. The Ministry of Environment, Science, Technology and Innovation is the responsible government entity, and will work with relevant ministries, agency, mining association, ASGM stakeholders and CSOs.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .	MO April 22, 2016 Yes.	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	MO April 22, 2016 Yes. Program Manager recommends EA clearance.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	April 22, 2016	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**