



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9164		
Country/Region:	Gabon		
Project Title:	National Action Plan on Mercury in the Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining sector in Gabon		
GEF Agency:	UNIDO	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$500,000
Co-financing:	\$161,000	Total Project Cost:	\$661,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Dustin Schinn	Agency Contact Person:	Ludovic Bernaudat

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes, Gabon is eligible per paragraph 21 of the Initial Guidelines for Enabling Activities for the Minamata Convention on Mercury.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes, the OFP has endorsed this EA on April 16, 2015.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes, the project aligns with the preparation of NAPs under Article 7 of the Convention.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes, the project aligns with the "Green Gabon" vision, with the mission of the Centre National	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	conventions?	Antipollution and with relevant government plans on the development of Gabon's mineral resources.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes. The Ministry of Mines, Industries and Tourism, as well as the Ministry of Health and Welfare are providing national leadership roles.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes, confirmed co-financing at \$161,000 is appropriate.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .	DS, June 26, 2015: Standard UNIDO accounting procedures apply for financial management and monitoring, as well as procurement.	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?		
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?	DS, June 26, 2015:	

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		Yes.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	DS, June 26, 2015: Yes.	
Review Date (s)	First review*		
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**