



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9751		
Country/Region:	El Salvador		
Project Title:	Development of a Minamata Initial Assessment in El Salvador		
GEF Agency:	UNEP	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$200,000
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	\$200,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Evelyn Swain	Agency Contact Person:	Kevin Helps

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	El Salvador has not signed the convention, however they did provide a letter summarizing their steps towards ratification which makes them eligible.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes, it is a MIA.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes, this project will do an initial mercury assessment.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A	Yes.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?		
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	No co-financing is required.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .		
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	Yes, EA clearance is recommended.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	April 20, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.
EA review template: updated Feb2015

*** This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**