



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9737		
Country/Region:	Ecuador		
Project Title:	National Action Plan on Mercury in the Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining sector in Ecuador		
GEF Agency:	UNIDO	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$500,000
Co-financing:	\$81,000	Total Project Cost:	\$581,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Evelyn Swain	Agency Contact Person:	Guillermo CASTELLA LORENZO

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Yes Ecuador has ratified the convention.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	Yes, this is an EA.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes, this will produce a national action plan.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project	Yes.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	objectives and the GEBs?		
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	No co-financing is required but there is some.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .		
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	Yes, EA clearance is recommended. Please ensure the investments already being done in Ecuador by the GEF are taken into consideration in the preparation of the NAP	
Review Date (s)	First review*	February 01, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.
EA review template: updated Feb2015

*** This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**