



## GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9635		
Country/Region:	Comoros		
Project Title:	Review and update of the national implementation plan for the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in Comoros		
GEF Agency:	UNEP	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$200,000
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	\$200,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Ogawa Masako	Agency Contact Person:	Kevin Helps

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	MO September 5, 2916 Yes. Comoros ratified the convention in 2007.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MO September 5, 2916 Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	MO September 5, 2916 Yes.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MO September 5, 2916 Please provide informaion on Comoros' national strategies and plans which is consistent with the proposed project.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and	MO September 5, 2916 Yes.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?		
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MO September 5, 2916 Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MO September 5, 2916 Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MO September 5, 2916 This is Enabling Activity and co-financing is not required.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment <sup>1</sup> .	NA	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	MO September 5, 2916 Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	<b>11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?</b>	MO September 5, 2916 Program Manager recommends CEO approval.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	September 05, 2016	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

<sup>1</sup> Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

**\* This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**