

GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW SHEET FOR MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECT

GEF ID:	9724		
Country/Region:	China		
Project Title:	Phase out of Endosulfan in China		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	6054 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):		CW-1 Program 1; CW-2 Program 3;	
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$1,980,000
Co-financing:	\$7,920,000	Total Project Cost:	\$9,900,000
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Anil Sookdeo	Agency Contact Person:	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
Project Consistency	 Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?¹ Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs? Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions? 	Yes, the project sets up a pragmatic and structured approach to facilitate the phase out of the remaining uses of endosulphan in China. Yes.	
	4. Does the project sufficiently	Yes	

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

GEF-6 MSP review template November 2014

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
Project Design	indicate the drivers² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation? 5. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning? 6. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs? 7. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered? 8. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective? 9. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience) 10. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided? 11. Are relevant tracking tools completed? 12. Only for Non-grant Instrument: Has a reflow	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
	calendar been presented? 13. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region? 14. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that	The project follows the very successful approach in China for phasing out the use and production of Dicofol which uses DDT as a feedstock. Yes	
	budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets? 15. Does the project have	Yes, the project outcomes will be used to	
	description of knowledge management plan?	scale the intervention throughout the endosulphan consuming sector in China. The government and agency are urged to	
		share the lessons from this project to other countries that use endosulphan and contribute to the clearing house mechanism of the Stockholm Convention by providing relevant information on how the IPM methods and alternatives were used and their success/failures.	
	16. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
Availability of Resources	The STAR allocation?The focal area		
	 allocation? The LDCF under the principle of equitable access The SCCF (Adaptation 		

GEF-6 MSP review template November 2014

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
	Transfer)?		
	Focal area set-aside?		
Recommendations	17. Is the MSP being recommended for approval?	Yes	
	First Review	January 17, 2017	
Review Dates	Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

GEF-6 MSP review template November 2014