

## GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	9718		
Country/Region:	Burkina Faso		
Project Title:	Contribution Towards the Elimination of Mercury and Improvement of the Gold Value Chain in the		
	Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining Sector		
GEF Agency:	UNIDO	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	<b>GEF Trust Fund</b>	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF	Objective (s):		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$50,000	Project Grant:	
Co-financing:		Total Project Cost:	
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Masako Ogawa	Agency Contact Person:	Jerome Stucki

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	<ol> <li>Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?</li> </ol>		
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the drivers <sup>2</sup> of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability,		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)? <sup>2</sup> Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

GEF-6 FSP/MSP Review Template January2015

## **PIF Review**

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	market transformation, scaling, and innovation?  4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?  5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?  6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?		
Availability of Resources	<ul> <li>7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):</li> <li>The STAR allocation?</li> <li>The focal area allocation?</li> <li>The LDCF under the principle of equitable access</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?</li> <li>Focal area set-aside?</li> </ul>		
Recommendations	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	MO January 11, 2017  The PPG is within the allowed limits and the Program Manager recommends for CEO approval of PPG.  The parent PFD was approved by Council October, 2016.	
Review Date	Review		

GEF-6 FSP/MSP Review Template January2015

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO endorsement Review				
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments	
Project Design and Financing	If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?      Is the project structure/ design			
	appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?  3. Is the financing adequate and			
	does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?			
	4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of			
	climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)			

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?		
	6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?		
	7. Only for Non-Grant Instrument: Has a reflow calendar been presented?		
	8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the		
	country or in the region?  9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that		
	monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
	10. Does the project have		

descriptions of a knowledge

11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the

management plan?

PIF<sup>3</sup> stage from:

12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?

Review

GEF Council Convention Secretariat

Additional Review (as necessary)

GEFSECSTAP

**Agency Responses** 

Recommendation

**Review Date** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	Additional Review (as necessary)		