

GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9711			
Country/Region:	Burkina Faso			
Project Title:	National Action Plan on Mercury in the Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining sector in Burkina Faso			
GEF Agency:	UNIDO	GEF Agency Project ID:		
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Waste	
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF	Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$500,000	
Co-financing:	\$216,000	Total Project Cost:	\$716,000	
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:		
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:		
Program Manager:	Masako Ogawa	Agency Contact Person:	Mr. Jerome Stucki,	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	MO January 26, 2017 Yes. Burkina Faso signed the convention in October 2013.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MO January 26, 2016 Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	MO January 26, 2016 Yes.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	MO January 26, 2016 Yes.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project	MO January 26, 2016 Yes.	

EA review template: updated Feb2015

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	objectives and the GEBs?		
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and	MO January 26, 2016 Yes.	
	CSOs considered?		
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MO January 26, 2016 Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MO January 26, 2016 Co-financing is not required for ASGM NAP.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .	MO January 26, 2016 It is adequate.	
	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):The STAR allocation?		
Resource Availability	• The focal area allocation?	MO January 26, 2016 Yes.	
	 The LDCF under the principle of equitable access? 		
	 The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recomme	ndation		
Recommendation	11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?	MO January 26, 2016 Yes. The Program Manager recommends CEO approval.	
	First review*	January 26, 2017	
Review Date (s)	Additional review (as necessary) Additional review (as necessary)		

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal. EA review template: updated Feb2015

*	This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.

EA review template: updated Feb2015