GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	**9841**		
Country/Region:	**Botswana**		
Project Title:	**Enabling activities to review and update the national implementation plan for the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)**		
GEF Agency:	**UNIDO**	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	**GEF Trust Fund**	GEF Focal Area (s):	**Chemicals and Waste**
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	**$200,000**
Co-financing:	**$219,200**	Total Project Cost:	**$419,200**
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	**Masako Ogawa**	Agency Contact Person:	**Mr. Adegboyega Oyekola AJANI**

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	MO June 12, 2017 Yes. Botswana ratified the Stockholm Convention in 2004, and also ratified the 2009, 2011, 2013 and 2015 amendments to the Convention.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	MO June 12, 2017 Yes.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	MO June 12, 2017 Yes. The project is aligned with CW1 Program 2.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and	MO June 12, 2017 Yes.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	assessments under relevant conventions?		
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	MO June 12, 2017 Yes.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	MO June 12, 2017 Yes.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	MO June 12, 2017 Yes.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	MO June 12, 2017 This is EA project and co-financing is not required.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment[1].	MO June 12, 2017 Yes.	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?	MO June 12, 2017 Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access?		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• The focal area set-aside?		
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	**11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?**	MO June 12, 2017 Program Manager recommends CEO	

[1] Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		approval.	
Review Date (s)	First review*	June 12, 2017	
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

* **This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**