



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW SHEET FOR MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECT

GEF ID:	9111		
Country/Region:	Malaysia		
Project Title:	Institutional Capacity to Enhance Biosafety Practices in Malaysia		
GEF Agency:	UNEP	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Biodiversity
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	BD-2 Program 5;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$0	Project Grant:	\$995,000
Co-financing:	\$2,986,500	Total Project Cost:	\$3,981,500
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Jaime Cavellier	Agency Contact Person:	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	3. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	4. Does the project sufficiently indicate the drivers ¹ of global	4-2-15 Yes	

¹ Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
Project Design	environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?	Cleared	
	5. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	6. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	7. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	8. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	9. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	10. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	11. Are relevant tracking tools completed?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	12. <i>Only for Non-grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow	NA	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
	calendar been presented?		
	13. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	14. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
	15. Does the project have description of knowledge management plan?	4-2-15 Yes Cleared	
Availability of Resources	16. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?		
	• The focal area allocation?		
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access		
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?		
	• Focal area set-aside?		
Recommendations	17. Is the MSP being recommended for approval?	4-2-15 Yes. This MSP is recommended for approval.	
Review Dates	First Review		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comments	Agency Response
	Additional Review (as necessary)		