Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel

The Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel, administered by UNEP, advises the Global Environment Facility

(Version 5)

STAP Scientific and Technical screening of the Project Identification Form (PIF)

Screener: Thomas Hammond

Panel member validation by: Thomas Lovejoy Consultant(s):

I. PIF Information (Copied from the PIF) **FULL SIZE PROJECT GEF TRUST FUND GEF PROJECT ID: 4513 PROJECT DURATION : 2.6** COUNTRIES : Global (Benin, Bhutan, Central African Republic, Cape Verde, Djibouti, Dominica, Grenada, Gambia,

Equatorial Guinea, Guvana, Cambodia, St. Kitts And Nevis, Lao PDR, Liberia, Madagascar, Mauritania, Maldives, Malawi, Nepal, Niue, Palau, Rwanda, Solomon Islands, Togo, Tonga, Uganda, St. Vincent and Grenadines, Vanuatu, Zambia, Congo DR)

PROJECT TITLE: Support to GEF Eligible Parties (LDCs & SIDs) for the Revision of the NBSAPs and Development of Fifth National Report to the CBD - Phase 1

GEF AGENCIES: UNEP

OTHER EXECUTING PARTNERS: National Government Ministries **GEF FOCAL AREA**: Biodiversity

II. STAP Advisory Response (see table below for explanation)

Based on this PIF screening, STAP's advisory response to the GEF Secretariat and GEF Agency(ies): Consent

III. Further guidance from STAP

STAP welcomes this UNEP global project as it will provide valuable support to the LDCs and SIDs to revise their National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) and develop their Fifth National Report to the Convention on Biological Diversity. This ongoing support is essential to assist in the implementation of the CBD Strategic Plan 2011 - 2020, Due to the diverse nature of this enabling activity at country level, which will be elaborated further with country partners, STAP is unable to comment further on this initiative.

STAP advisory response		Brief explanation of advisory response and action proposed
1.	Consent	STAP acknowledges that on scientific/technical grounds the concept has merit. However, STAP may state its views on the concept emphasising any issues that could be improved and the proponent is invited to approach STAP for advice at any time during the development of the project brief prior to submission for CEO endorsement.
2.	Minor revision required.	 STAP has identified specific scientific/technical suggestions or opportunities that should be discussed with the proponent as early as possible during development of the project brief. One or more options that remain open to STAP include: (i) Opening a dialogue between STAP and the proponent to clarify issues (ii) Setting a review point during early stage project development and agreeing terms of reference for an independent expert to be appointed to conduct this review The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.
3.	Major revision required	STAP proposes significant improvements or has concerns on the grounds of specified major scientific/technical omissions in the concept. If STAP provides this advisory response, a full explanation would also be provided. Normally, a STAP approved review will be mandatory prior to submission of the project brief for CEO endorsement. The proponent should provide a report of the action agreed and taken, at the time of submission of the full project brief for CEO endorsement.