

# GIAHS+: Integration of Biodiversity into Agrifood Systems for Responsible Ecological and Economic Transformation in Morocco

Review PPG Request and Make a recommendation

## Basic project information

**GEF ID**  
12185  
**Countries**  
Morocco  
**Project Name**  
GIAHS+: Integration of Biodiversity into Agrifood Systems for Responsible Ecological and Economic Transformation in Morocco  
**Agencies**  
FAO  
**Date received by PM**  
1/15/2026  
**Review completed by PM**

**Program Manager**  
Razan Nimir  
**Focal Area**  
Biodiversity  
**Project Type**  
GBFF

## **1. General Project Information / Eligibility**

**a) Does the project meet the criteria for eligibility for GBFF funding?**

**b) Is the General Project Information table correctly populated?**

Secretariat's Comments

3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments

## **II. Indicative Project Overview**

**a) Is the project objective presented as a concise statement and clear?**

**b) Are the components, outcomes and outputs sound, appropriate and sufficiently clear to achieve the project objective?**

Secretariat's Comments 3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments

**c) Are the components adequately funded?**

**d) Are the GEF Project Financing and Co-Financing contributions to PMC proportional (only for Multi-trust Funds PPGs with BD from the GEF Trust Fund)?**

**e) Is the PMC equal to or below 5% of the total GEF grant for projects of more than \$2 million or 10% for projects of less than \$2 million? If the requested PMC is above the caps, has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated?**

Secretariat's Comments

3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments

## **III. Project Rationale**

**a. Does the project adequately describe the: (i) current situation/baseline conditions within the project geographic area or project thematic area; (ii) problem(s) that the project will address; (iii) goal and objectives of the project; and (iv) justification for the project intervention; and (v) expected results including the Global Environmental Benefits and an estimate of the project's contributions to the relevant biodiversity core indicators.**

Secretariat's Comments 3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments

## **IV. Project Description**

- a) **Is there a concise theory of change that describes the project logic, including how the project design elements will contribute to the objective, the expected causal pathways, and the key assumptions underlying these?**
- b) **Are the project components and activities identified in the theory of change adequately described.**
- c) **Is a list of stakeholders that will be involved in the project and their roles in the design and implementation of the project provided?**
- d) **Are the Specific Action Area(s) that the project is aligned with identified and an explanation provided on and how the project will support the achievement of the specific Action Area objective(s).**

Secretariat's Comments3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments

**V. Does the proposal adequately describe how the project meets the following criteria:**

- a) **Potential to generate global environmental benefits (GEBs) (include a description of the GEBs the project will generate per the GEF-8 Core Indicators for biodiversity);**
- b) **Alignment with the National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans and/or National Biodiversity Finance Plans or similar instruments to identify national and/or regional priorities;**
- c) **The level of policy coherence and coordination across multiple ministries, agencies, the private sector, and civil society that the project aims to support;**
- d) **Whether the project will mobilize the resources of the private sector and philanthropies'; and**
- e) **Whether and how the project will engage with and provide support to IPLCs.**

Secretariat's Comments3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments

**VI. Project results indicators**

**Is the table correctly populated and consistent with the Project Description?**

Secretariat's Comments3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments

**VII. Project Financing Tables**

- a) **Are all the tables correctly populated?**
- b) **Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines (only for projects with GEF TF components)?**

Secretariat's Comments3/9/2026 - Cleared

Agency's Comments  
**VIII. Project Endorsement**

**a) Has the project been endorsed by the country's(ies) OFP and has the OFP at the time of PPG request submission name and position been checked against the GEF database?**

**b) Are the OFP endorsement letters uploaded to the GEF Portal (compiled as a single document, if applicable)?**

**c) Do the letters follow the correct format and are the endorsed amounts consistent with the amounts included in the Portal?**

Secretariat's Comments

3/9/2026 - Cleared

2/18/2026

Thank you for this submission. For this project to be further considered in the selection round, please address the comments included in this review sheet and resubmit as soon as possible.

1. There is a difference in the executing agency mentioned in the LoE and portal, please revise.

2. The LoE is missing the footnote. Please secure an email confirming the OFP is ok with the content of the footnote, as an alternative to providing a new LOE .

3. The amounts included in LoE for the Agency Fee (\$192,367 and \$9,000) is lower than that in Portal (\$202,084 and \$9,500) - Please change the figures in Portal to make them match those in LoE or to obtain a new LoE.

Agency's Comments Thanks. In order to address all inconsistencies between Portal entry and LOE, a new LOE was prepared, signed and uploaded.

**IX. GEFSEC Decision**

**a. Is the PPG recommended for technical clearance?**

**b. Additional comments to be considered by the Agency during project preparation**

Secretariat's Comments

3/9/2026

This PPG request has been selected by the CEO as part of the 5<sup>th</sup> GBFF selection round. During project preparation, please consider the following:

1- Please ensure to validate and clearly define the spatial scope of the proposed OECSMs to be piloted by the project. Please also ensure that these sites demonstrate criteria for Key Biodiversity Areas (KBA).

2- Please ensure the Theory of Change in CEO endorsement request strengthens the intervention logic to clearly demonstrate how the project will address the key drivers of biodiversity loss in agricultural landscapes, including how proposed incentives and GIAHS-based approaches will translate into practical measures that prevent biodiversity-negative practices.

3- Please ensure integrating IAS risk management for priority value chains, and clarifying ABS interfaces for genetic resources and traditional knowledge

4- Since submission, [GBFF guidelines on supporting actions by IPLCs](#) have been published. Please follow these guidelines carefully during PPG implementation, taking care to involve IPLC representatives in co-designing relevant components and including IPLC institutions identified by IPLCs themselves. The CEO endorsement request is expected to provide evidence that the reported dollar amount to support actions by IPLCs for biodiversity was calculated according to the guidelines.

5- Since submission, the GBFF Council adopted a [new results framework](#) for the GBFF. Please note this project will be requested to transition to this new results framework at its next best occasion, either the CEO endorsement request if submitted after July 1, 2026, or the first PIR. Please note that detailed guidelines for the new results framework will be release before July. New requirements include the provision of polygon data for the location of project interventions at CEO endorsement stage. In addition, projects' results frameworks are:

- encouraged to draw extensively in the headline, binary, component or complementary indicators of the [KMGBF monitoring framework](#) to better measure their contributions to the KMGBF goals and targets.

- requested, whenever feasible and relevant, to include indicators of biodiversity outcomes to complement and underpin the GBFF indicators

**2/18/2026**

Thank you for this submission. For this project to be further considered in the selection round, please address the comments included in this review sheet and resubmit as soon as possible.

Agency's Comments

Review Dates

**First Review**

**Additional Review (as necessary)**

**Additional Review (as necessary)**

**Additional Review (as necessary)**

**Additional Review (as necessary)**

**PPG Request Review**

**2/18/2026**

**Agency Response**