

# PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

Project ID:	9750
Project Name:	Resilient Productive Landscapes in Haiti
Countr(ies):	Haiti
Implementing Agency:	World Bank

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. OVERVIEW .....3**

**A. Description .....3**

**B. Ratings and Disbursements .....3**

**C. Key Dates .....3**

**II. PROGRESS STATUS AND ISSUES .....4**

**A. Information on Progress, Challenges and Outcomes on Project Implementation .....4**

**B. Stakeholder Engagement .....4**

**C. Gender Equality .....5**

**D. Knowledge Management .....5**

**III: MINOR AMENDMENTS .....5**

**IV: GEOGRAPHIC COORDINATES OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES .....6**

**V. ANNEX .....7**

## I. Overview

### A. Description

Project name

Resilient Productive Landscapes in Haiti

Country

Haiti

GEF ID

9750

Implementing Agency

World Bank

Executing Entity

Ministry of Agriculture, Natural Resources and Rural  
Development, Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Economy  
and Finance

Trust Fund

LDCF

Project Type

FSP

PIR Submission

4/24/2025

Fiscal Year , PIR Number

FY 2025 , Final PIR

Objective

To improve the adoption of resilience-enhancing agricultural and landscape management practices in  
selected sub-watersheds

### B. Ratings and Disbursements

Implementation Progress

Satisfactory

Development Objective

Satisfactory

Overall risk

Substantial

Project Financing

6,800,000.00

Cumulative Disbursement

5,945,032.00

### C. Key Dates

CEO Endorsement/Approval

1/8/2018

Agency Approval

3/1/2018

Implementation Start 3/1/2018	First Disbursement 3/22/2019
Expected MTR	Actual MTR 5/16/2022
Expected Completion 12/31/2023	Actual Completion 11/30/2024

## II. PROGRESS STATUS AND ISSUES

### A. Information on Progress, Challenges and Outcomes on Project Implementation

The project completed the implementation of activities and the development objectives (DO) indicators have been all achieved and some of them exceeded their targets. The physical execution rates measured by the three Project Development Objective (PDO) indicators are: (i) area under desired soil management reached 2,050.347 ha out of 2,000 planned at the end of the project, or 102.5%; (ii) farmers adopting improved agricultural technology reached the number of 4,267 against 3,000 at the end of the project or 142%; and (iii) proportion of target farmers with improved market access reached 80.85 % against 40 % at the end of the project. The big challenge for the implementation and performance of the project was that the activities were carried out in a particularly difficult political, economic, social and security context in Haiti, such as the deterioration of the security situation including the case of roadblocks, multiple kidnappings, and civil unrests, and fuel shortage, especially in provincial towns. However, the team managed to implement all the activities with success.

### B. Stakeholder Engagement

The Project seek to support engagement of stakeholders and beneficiaries through consultative processes, including their engagement in local level planning and feedback mechanisms to elaborate and adjust the integrated landscape management approach and access to economic opportunities, thus contributing to achieving sustainability and project outcomes. Feedback mechanisms were developed to ensure transparency, accountability and learning as well as a continuous dialogue with local level beneficiaries and other stakeholders. In that regard, the Project undertook initiatives aimed at restoring the vegetation cover upstream of the 4 targeted watersheds in the project intervention areas, raising awareness and involving the residents of these communities in the dynamics of their protection: A steering committee with local civil society and local authorities members was created in each watershed area; 26 community meetings were held in localities to support the project in this activity and ensure its sustainability; 9 information sessions were held to providing details on the TRP project and activities; 9 public consultation meetings were held with the Steering Committees, landowners, leaders, locals and other actors to identify the plants that best adapt to each environment. On prevention works: An information meeting was held among locals in Javel and Manyan gullies to inform about the project and the importance of its activities; one public consultation meeting was held with landowners, leaders and local key actors to collect their opinion on the introduction of castor bean, pineapple cays, and sugar cane, which were all very favorable to the introduction of these plants in areas of project implementation (meeting raised the awareness of 63 participants, including 29 women). For the rehabilitation of existing rural roads/tracks: 1 information meeting was held with the Irrigators Association Committee and users of the Charlier perimeter to provide information on the TRP project, the objective of the work and the project's policy in terms of social safeguards. For the rehabilitation and development works on the irrigated perimeters of Charlier, O'Rouck and Baconnois: 3 information meetings were held with the

Committees of irrigators, local authorities and users of the perimeters to provide information on the project, the importance of this work for the community and the project's policy in terms of social safeguards.

### C. Gender Equality

During project implementation, gender gaps were reduced, providing women with the same set of support opportunities. The Project encouraged equal engagement of men and women in agriculture activities in each of its components. The Results Framework collected gender-disaggregated data as follows: PDO Indicators: (i) Land area under sustainable landscape management practices: 127 community leaders participated in 12 information and mass awareness sessions, of which 22% were women; and 1,811 farmers participated in 16 information and awareness sessions, of which 34% were women; (ii) Farmers adopting improved agricultural technology: of 4,267 farmers that have adopted an improved agricultural technology, 62% were men and 38% women; and (iii) Share of targeted farmers with improved market access: 80.85% of farmers had improved market access, of which approximately 22% were women. Intermediate Results Indicators: (i) Farmers participating in the FFS: 2,800 farmers (target: 1,000; 280%) benefited from FFS, of which 37.8% (target: 33; 115%) were women; (ii) Sub-projects supported by the RPL Project improving access to markets for products from targeted areas 20, where 1,511 members of the groups benefitted from, of which 47% were female; (iii) Percentage of beneficiaries satisfied with Project interventions: The total target for this indicator was 75%, the proportion of beneficiaries is 85.67%, of which 40% were women; (iv) Farmers receiving urgent production inputs and services under CERC: 137,611 farmers received production inputs and services, of which 82,389 were men and 55,222 women (39.5%).

### D. Knowledge Management

The project organized information sessions with beneficiaries and key actors in the targeted areas, such as 5 orientation sessions for the Steering Committees on occupational health and safety; 1 orientation session to local authorities and community leaders on health and safety, in particular regarding transport and transplantation of seedlings. 2,800 farmers were trained (of which 37% female) as a consequence of the establishment of 122 Farmer Field Schools (20 beneficiaries per FFS). Moreover, one-month training on GIS provided to two executives of National Center for Geo-Spatial Information (CNIGS) for the design of the spatial decision support tool, which was developed (Risk Analysis Tool) and further training was provided to CNIGS personnel on the land parcel identification system (LPIS) and on climate parameters regarding satellites and field measurement sensors to better identify drought areas at land scale. The Project also included additional knowledge activities for beneficiaries of family cisterns on the use of these structures to increase their protection during drought periods. In addition, knowledge activities and technical training were carried out in a regular basis to strengthen MARNDR's staff, the PIU, local authorities and other relevant stakeholders.

## III: Minor Amendments

CONTEXT	
Result Framework	
Components and Cost	
Institutional And Implementation Arrangements	

Financial Management	
Implementation Schedule	
Executing Entity	
Executing Entity Category	
Minor Project Objective Change	
Safeguards	
Risk Analysis	
Increase of GEF Financing up to 5%	
Co-Financing	
Location of Project Activity	
others	

#### IV: Geographic Coordinates of Project Activities

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GeoName ID
Paillant	18.41764	-73.1457	

Location Description:

Activity Description:

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GeoName ID
Petite Riviere de Nippes	18.47611	-73.23495	

Location Description:

Activity Description:

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GeoName ID
Anse-a-Veau	18.5011	-73.3449	

Location Description:

Activity Description:

---

---

## V. ANNEX

---

Uploaded Document

---