

Scaling up ecological corridors and transboundary connectivity through integrated natural resources management in the Ngotto Forest landscape and Mbaéré-Bodingué National Park

Basic Information

GEF ID

10347

Countries

Central African Republic

Project Title

Scaling up ecological corridors and transboundary connectivity through integrated natural resources management in the Ngotto Forest landscape and Mbaéré-Bodingué National Park

GEF Agency(ies)

World Bank

Agency ID


World Bank: P171723

GEF Focal Area(s)


Multi Focal Area

Program Manager


Jean-Marc Sinnassamy



Co-financing
3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
GEF Resource Availability
4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response




The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?




Project Preparation Grant

5. Is PPG requested in Table E within the allowable cap? Has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? (not applicable to PFD)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion The PPG is in the range of what is acceptable for a \$7.6 million project, and aligned with the amounts mentioned in the letter of endorsement.
Agency Response
Core indicators
6. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guidelines? (GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Project/Program taxonomy

7. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G?




3. Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
4. Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core



Stakeholders
Does the PIF/PFD include indicative information on Stakeholders engagement to date? If not, is the justification provided appropriate? Does the PIF/PFD include information about the proposed means of future engagement?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment
Is the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women, adequate?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Private Sector Engagement

Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Risks to Achieving Project Objectives
Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the project design?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
Agency Response
Coordination
Is the institutional arrangement for project/program coordination including management, monitoring and evaluation outlined? Is there a description of possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects/programs and other bilateral/multilateral initiatives in the project/program area?



Agency Response

art III – Country Endorsements

Has the project/program been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF data base?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response

Termsheet, reflow table and agency capacity in NGI Projects

Does the project provide sufficient detail in Annex A (indicative termsheet) to take a decision on the following selection criteria: co-financing ratios, financial terms and conditions, and financial additionality? If not, please provide comments. Does the project provide a detailed reflow table in Annex B to assess the project capacity of generating reflows? If not, please provide comments. After reading the questionnaire in Annex C, is the Partner Agency eligible to administer concessional finance? If not, please provide comments.

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Agency Response
EFSEC DECISION
RECOMMENDATION
Is the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? Is the PPG (if requested) being recommended for clearance?
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion The PPG is recommended for clearance.
The FFG is recommended for clearance.
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.
Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion
riew Dates

PIF Review Agency Response

First Review	9/30/2019
Additional Review (as necessary)	

PIF Recommendation to CEO

Brief reasoning for recommendations to CEO for PIF Approval